

AVALIAÇÃO E MONITORAMENTO DE JOVENS JOGADORES DE FUTEBOL: UMA REVISÃO SISTEMÁTICA

# EVALUATION AND MONITORING OF YOUNG SOCCER PLAYERS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Eder Gonçalves<sup>1,2</sup>

 University of Coimbra, Research Unit for Sport and Physical Activity. Faculty of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, Coimbra, Portugal. Estádio Universitário de Coimbra, S/N, Avenida Conímbriga, Pavilhão 3;
 Centre of Research and Studies in Soccer, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa-MG, Brazil; e-

mail: egoncalves.ef@hotmail.com / ORCID: 0000-0002-4627-2365

Hugo Sarmento<sup>1</sup>

1. University of Coimbra, Research Unit for Sport and Physical Activity. Faculty of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, Coimbra, Portugal. Estádio Universitário de Coimbra, S/N, Avenida Conímbriga, Pavilhão 3; e-mail: <u>hq.sarmento@qmail.com /</u>ORCID: 0000-0001-8681-0642

Israel Teoldo<sup>2</sup>

2. Centre of Research and Studies in Soccer, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa-MG, Brazil; email: israel.teoldo@ufv.br / ORCID: 0000-0001-9780-3456

António Tessitore<sup>3</sup> 3. University of Roma "Foro Italico", Rome, Italy. Piazza Lauro de Bosis, 15; e-mail: didattica.tessitore@gmail.com / ORCID: 0000-0002-3542-0991

António J. Figueiredo<sup>1</sup>

1. University of Coimbra, Research Unit for Sport and Physical Activity. Faculty of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, Coimbra, Portugal. Estádio Universitário de Coimbra, S/N, Avenida Conímbriga, Pavilhão 3; e-mail: <u>afiqueiredo@fcdef.uc.pt /</u>ORCID: 0000-0001-6956-0514

Endereço de correspondência: Eder Gonçalves Rua José Luiz Gabeira, 170, Vitória-ES. CEP: 29057-570 Celular: (27) 99520-7382 / Contato: egoncalves.ef@hotmail.com

# AVALIAÇÃO E MONITORAMENTO DE JOVENS JOGADORES DE FUTEBOL: UMA REVISÃO SISTEMÁTICA

# RESUMO

**Introdução:** A compreensão do Futebol passa pela necessidade de avaliar e monitorar os jogadores, bem como os métodos e meios empregados no processo de desenvolvimento desses jovens.

**Objetivo:** O objetivo dessa revisão sistemática é sintetizar os principais resultados encontrados, bem como identificar os principais métodos usados para avaliar e monitorar jovens jogadores de futebol, das categorias Sub-12 à Sub-19, em estudos científicos publicados entre os anos de 2015 a 2018, de acordo com as normas do *Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyzes* (PRISMA).

**Metodologia:** As bases de dados Web of Science, a SportDiscus e a Scopus foram pesquisadas para a obtenção de estudos relevantes, usando as seguintes palavras-chave: "Soccer" AND "Football", associadas aos termos: matur\* OR "biological matur\*" OR you\* OR growth OR "physical fitness" OR "physical performance" OR functional OR "athletic ability testing" OR anthropom\* OR "talent selection" OR "talent identification" OR SSG OR "Small and conditioned Games" OR "systematic observation" OR "time-use analysis" OR "skill acquisition" OR "development pathways" OR "training session".

**Resultados:** Após as buscas, foram encontrados 9070 estudos, dos quais 131 foram selecionados para fazer parte dessa revisão.

**Conclusão**: Conclui-se que, nos últimos dez anos, é cada vez mais frequente a utilização da tecnologia nas Ciências do Esporte, especificamente no contexto do futebol. Entretanto, a análise das capacidades físicas continuam sendo o foco dos estudos com jovens jogadores de futebol. O método mais utilizado para avaliar os jogos reduzidos e condicionais foi o Sistema de Avaliação Tática no Futebol (FUT-SAT). Por outro lado, as análises de interações e da atividade dos jogadores forma mais exploradas em jogos em formato oficial. Foi possível observar que a maturação biológica foi controlada em vários estudos, entretanto, as sessões de treino ainda são pouco relatadas por estudos com alta qualidade metodológica.

Palavras-chave: Futebol, Categorias de base, Avaliação Multidimensional, Jovens jogadores.

# EVALUATION AND MONITORING OF YOUNG SOCCER PLAYERS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Understanding soccer involves the need to evaluate and monitor players, as well as the methods and means used in the development process of these young people.

**Objective:** The aims of this systematic review were to synthesize the main results find out as well as to identify the most used methods to evaluate and monitoring young soccer players, in the U-12 to U-19 age category, in studies published between 2015 and 2018 according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyzes (PRISMA) guidelines.

**Methodology:** The Web of Science, SportDiscus and Scopus databases were searched for relevant published studies using the following keywords: "Soccer" and "Football", associated to the following terms: matur\* OR "biological matur\*" OR you\* OR growth OR "physical fitness" OR "physical performance" OR functional OR "athletic ability testing" OR anthropom\* OR "talent selection" OR "talent identification" OR SSG OR "Small and conditioned Games" OR "systematic observation" OR "time-use analysis" OR "skill acquisition" OR "development pathways" OR "training session".

**Results:** After the search, 9070 studies were found, of which 131 were selected to be part of the review.

**Conclusion**: It is concluded that in the last ten years, the technology is being used more frequently in the sports sciences. However, the physical capacities are still the focus of the analysis with young soccer players. Considering the Small-Sided and Conditioned Games, FUT-SAT was the most used method, but it was not used in formal games, in which analyzes of social networks and player activity were performed. The maturation is a controlled variable in many studies. However, there are still few studies dedicated to the analysis of the training sessions.

Keywords: soccer, football, evaluation, multidimensional analysis, youth players.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

According to the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA), considering their 207 associated federations, in 2007, soccer had approximately 265 million players all over the world (1). Probably this is the sport modality with more players on the planet and, as well as in all other society fields, and improved over the years using the basic and applied scientific research approaches. This statement is supported by the increase in the number of studies carried out over the years (2).

However, for science to be harnessed, scientists need to recognize which variables influence the game and are therefore of greater interest to managers, coaches, and coach staff (3). During many decades, the study of the morphological and functional capacities of soccer players was the most investigated topic. In this sense, the studies related to the player's growing and developing, trying to understand inter-individual differences were more ordinary (4). For example, Figueiredo et al. (5) did a differentiation between the players who drop out, persist or move up from soccer and used, among other variables, the aerobic performance, anaerobic fitness, agility and the explosive power for this purpose.

In the last 20 years, therefore, tactics and the soccer player's tactical behavior has received more notoriety from researchers (6). Over the years and with the increasingly early player's professionalization, the studies made with adults in the past; nowadays are more frequently made with young players (7).

Moreover, game demands request from the sport sciences a larger link with technological advice to understand in a more accurate way the player's behavior and performance. An example *Gonçalves et al. Avaliação e Monitoramento no Futebol. Rev Bras Futebol 2022; v. 15, n. 4, 02- 71* 

related to the development of mechanisms of training control is the utilization of the Global Positioning System (GPS) and its capacity to collect external load variables (8). In less than ten years, this kind of device improved its capacity from 1 Hz to 15 Hz, modifying considerable their precision (9-11). Another essential point to highlight it's the use of match analysis softwares. The notational method with paper and a pen gives space in a small period to sophisticated systems, free systems in some cases (e.g., Kinovea), and very expansive in others (e.g., Amisco).

Currently, it is possible to control more variables than in the past, fortunately to the insertion of certain technological devices and systems to control the matches and training sessions. There is a tendency, therefore, that the studies begin to control the greatest number of variables, to increase the generalization capacity of the outcomes found (12, 13).

Based on the above arguments, this systematic literature review aims to synthesize the main results find out as well as to identify the most used methods to evaluate and monitoring young soccer players. For this purpose, will be analyzed studies carried out with young soccer players, among Under-12 and Under-19 age categories, conducted between 2015 and 2018.

#### 2. METHODS

#### 2.1. Search strategy: databases and inclusion criteria

To perform this systematic review, the rules from Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyzes (PRISMA) were followed (14, 15). The searches were performed in the following databases: Web of Science<sup>™</sup> Core Collection, Scopus, and SportDiscus. Were considered papers published between January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015, and March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2018. The temporal space chosen for

the searches is justified by the substantial increase in the use of technological devices in the monitoring of training sessions and matches.

The searches were done using the keywords "Soccer" and "Football", each one associated with the terms: matur\* OR "biological matur\*" OR you\* OR growth OR "physical fitness" OR "physical performance" OR functional OR "athletic ability testing" OR anthropom\* OR "talent selection" OR "talent identification" OR SSG OR "Small and conditioned Games" OR "systematic observation" OR "time-use analysis" OR "skill acquisition" OR "development pathways" OR "training session".

In this review, the inclusion criteria for the papers were: 1) including male soccer players (U-12 to U-19); 2) including representative data in match analysis, anthropometrical analysis, maturation analysis, functional capacities, training session, small-sided and conditioned games and multidimensional studies and; 3) articles published in English. On the other hand, were excluded articles that: 1) includes adult players (category higher than U-19); 2) includes other modalities; 3) includes female players and; 4) conference abstracts and reviews.

After this step, two reviewers (EG and HS) independently selected citations and abstracts to identify potential studies, according to the inclusion criteria, to be included in this systematic review. After that, the same reviewers accessed complete articles to certify that studies met the inclusion criteria. Disagreements about whether the inclusion criteria were met were resolved through discussion with another expert (AF).

#### 2.2. Quality of the studies

The methodological quality of the studies was performed according to the recommendation of Sarmento et al. (3) (see the supplementary table S1). In this sense, studies were analyzed to verify *Gonçalves et al. Avaliação e Monitoramento no Futebol. Rev Bras Futebol 2022; v. 15, n. 4, 02- 71* 

the presence of the 16 followed items: purpose (item 1), the relevance of theoretical background (item 2), adequate experimental design (item 3), the inclusion of sample (items 4 and 5), use of an informed consent procedure (item 6), outcome measures (item 7 and 8), method description (item 9), the significance of results (item 10), analysis (item 11), practical importance (item 12), description of dropouts (item 13), conclusions (item 14), practical implications (item 15), and limitations (item 16). The results, per item, were: 1 (meets the criteria), 0 (doesn't meet the criteria), or NA (not applicable). Critical Review Forms versions used in this review are showed in the supplementary material (S1 and S2).

A final score expressed in percentage was calculated for each one study. This score was obtained through the followed formula:  $PF\% = \sum PA / NTP$  (items), where  $\sum PA$  corresponds to the sum of all the articles scores, and NTP correspond to the total number of items scored. After that, studies were classified in: 1) low methodological quality (score  $\leq 50\%$ ); 2) good methodological quality (score between 51% and 75%) and; 3) excellent methodological quality (score > 75%). A spread sheet in Excel<sup>®</sup> was adapted from Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group's data extraction template (2016) to be used in the paper inclusion and exclusion procedures. All the studies included in this review were developed respecting quality and clarity criteria. In this sense, the reproducibility assumption was respected.

#### 3. **RESULTS**

#### 3.1. Search, selection and inclusion of publications

The search criteria, selection, and inclusion of the papers are described in Figure 1. After finding 9070 studies, a selection and inclusion process was carried out. In the end, 131 studies were analyzed. *Gonçalves et al. Avaliação e Monitoramento no Futebol. Rev Bras Futebol 2022; v. 15, n. 4, 02- 71* 



Figure 1: Preferred reporting items for systematic review flow diagram.

# 3.2. Data organization

The studies were put in different sessions regarding the independent variable and/or the most

relevant topic in each one article (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Organization of results session.

The tables presented in the results and discussion sessions will be included a description of the sample, study design, method and procedures, main variables, results, and score of the articles.

# Anthropometrics

In 6 studies, the independent variable was the anthropometrical measures (Table 1). Mostly, anthropometrical measures were followed while a period, in a sense to understand how the increase in dimensionality gives players higher functional performances. In these articles, it was possible to verify that players besides presenting an increase in height and body mass, they also improved their aerobic capacity and velocity in sprints.

| Study                               | Sample (number, category,<br>nationality)                | Study design  | Anthropometric measures  | Results  | Quality<br>score (%) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------|
| Deprez et al.<br>(2015)             | 42 (11-16 years) from Belgian professional soccer clubs. | Two-year follow-up subsample (n<br>= 21, assessed annually at the end<br>of august). Four-year follow-up<br>subsample (n = 21, assessed every<br>second year, at the end of August. | Height, sitting height and body<br>mass  | Two-year follow-up subsample: 1) For stature and body<br>mass at each test moment, high players were significant<br>smaller and leaner compared with low and average players;<br>2) Similar increases in both stature and body mass in all<br>performance groups. Four-year follow-up subsample: 1) For<br>body mass, low players had a higher body mass compared<br>with average players at the second and third test moment. | 81,25                |
| Mathisen and<br>Pettersen<br>(2015) | 132 (10-16 years).                                       | Cross-sectional study.  | Height, body mass, and body<br>mass index (BMI).   | <ol> <li>Body mass was significantly correlated with 10 m sprint in<br/>the 13–14-year-olds, and with 20 m and 10-20 m sprint in<br/>the 15–16-yearold group; 2) Height was significantly<br/>correlated to 10 m sprint, 20 m sprint, 10-20 m sprint, and<br/>agility performance in the 13-14year olds, and with 20 m<br/>sprint and 10-20 m sprint in the 15-16 year olds.</li> </ol>  | 75,00                |
| Portes et al.<br>(2015)             | 296 (10-13 years) from a<br>Brazilian club.              | Cross-sectional study.  | Height, body mass, body<br>composition and somatotype,<br>skinfolds, girths, thigh and<br>calf, bone breadths, absolute<br>adiposity, body composition,<br>and somatotype. | Anthropometry: 1) Goalkeepers and defenders were<br>significantly taller, heavier and exhibited more lean mass<br>than midfielders and forwards, respectively; 2) Forwards<br>exhibited lower values of adiposity (%Fat) than all the other<br>athletes.   | 87,50                |
| Hirose and<br>Seki (2016)           | 37 (U-13 to U-17) from a<br>Japanese club.               | Two-year follow-up.   | Body mass, height and sitting<br>height.   | 1) All measurements improved significantly from initial<br>values to the 2-year follow-up in both U-13 to U15 and U15<br>to U17; 2) In both U-13 to U-15 and U-15 to U-17, height<br>and weight exhibited a correlation between initial and 2-<br>year follow-up values.   | 87,50                |
| Hernández-<br>Camacho et al.        | 16 (U-19) from an amateur<br>Spanish team.               | Cross-sectional study.  | Height, body mass, three diameters, six circumferences,  | 1) Somatotype classifications were goalkeepers (endo-<br>mesomorph), defenders (meso-ectomorph), fullbacks   | 68,75                |

# Table 1. Anthropometrics of young soccer player's studies: authors, sample, study design, main variables, results and quality score.

| (2017)                   |  |  | perimeter, and eight skinfolds.  | (meso-ectomorph), midfielders (balance mesomorph),<br>strikers (balance mesomorph) and global player's sample<br>(balance mesomorph).          |       |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------|
| Lenjani et al.<br>(2017) | 132 (U-15) of the super league<br>(n = 66) and amateur league (n =<br>66) of the Republic of Kosovo. | Longitudinal and transversal<br>dimensionality of the skeleton,<br>then the volume and mass of the<br>body and subcutaneous fatty<br>tissue. | Height, length of left leg, size<br>of upper leg, body mass, BMI,<br>knee joint diameter, skin fold. | Young soccer players who are competing in higher ranking<br>competitions have lower values on the skinfolds on the<br>back, triceps and belly. | 50,00 |
|                          | Note: PHV = Peak of Height   | Velocity, APHV = Age at the Peak of H  | leight Velocity, YYIR1 = Yo-Yo Inter   | mittent Recovery Test level 1, BMI = Body mass index.  |       |

# **Functional capacities**

The player's functional capacities are still of major predominance interest for soccer scientists, probably because of the interest from the soccer clubs (Table 2). In some cases, it was possible to verify the analysis of too many variables, putting together acceleration, velocity, agility, aerobic and anaerobic, and lower limb power analysis. To this end, it was used more frequently the 5, 10, 30 and 40 m sprint test, Zig-Zag test (16), Yo-Yo variations, Krustrup, Mohr (17) and Bangsbo, Iaia (18), Repeated Sprint Ability test (RSA, Rampinini, Bishop (19)), Repeated Shuttle Sprint Ability test (RSSA, Impellizzeri, Rampinini (20)) and Countermovement and Squat jump tests.

| Study                               | Sample (number, category, nationality)  | Study design   | Functional capacities   | Main variables  | Results  | Quality<br>score (%) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|----------------------|
| Al Haddad<br>et al. (2015)          | 180 (U-13: n = 35, U-14: n<br>= 44, U-15: n = 40, U-16:<br>n = 37, and U-17: n = 24)<br>from the ASPIRE<br>academy. | Over a period of three<br>years: match analysis (2<br>halves of 35 to 45 minutes<br>each. Time motion analysis<br>were recorded using a 1Hz<br>GPS) and maximal sprinting<br>assessment.   | Maximal sprinting<br>speed: 40 m.   | Peak match speed and<br>Maximal sprinting speed.  | Maximal sprinting speed was<br>clearly greater in the older<br>players than the younger players<br>(i.e., U-13 almost certainly < U14<br>almost certainly < U15 almost<br>certainly < U16 almost certainly <<br>U17).  | 87,50                |
| Brocherie et<br>al. (2015)          | 16 (U-18).  | A double-blinded, placebo<br>controlled<br>field study.  | Lower-limb explosive<br>power (CMJ), linear<br>sprint (10m, 20m, 30m,<br>40m), Repeated-Sprint<br>Ability (RSA) and<br>repeated-agility (RA),<br>Incremental field<br>running test to estimate<br>maximal aerobic speed<br>(MAS). | Run based in repeated sprints<br>in hypoxia (RSH) vs. repeated<br>sprints normoxia (RSN),<br>soccer specific training,<br>physical fitness parameters.  | <ol> <li>From Pre- to Post- training,<br/>the lower-limb explosive power<br/>improved to a similar extent in<br/>both groups; 2) sprinting<br/>performances increased<br/>significantly after 5 weeks of<br/>training compared with baseline;</li> <li>Repeated-agility performance-<br/>related parameters improved.</li> </ol> | 93,75                |
| Campos-<br>Vazquez et<br>al. (2015) | 21 (U-19) from a Spanish<br>club.   | Quasi-experimental design<br>in which participants were<br>assigned to 2 experimental<br>groups by balanced<br>randomization according to<br>the average time obtained<br>in the RSA test. | Lower-limb explosive<br>power (CMJ), RSA,<br>YYIRT1, Mean Velocity<br>in Full Squat (FS).   | Distance covered in YYIRT1,<br>RSA performance, height<br>achieved in CMJ, average<br>velocity in FS, sum of 6<br>skinfolds and body mass.  | <ol> <li>In the Squat Group (SG),<br/>significant improvements were<br/>obtained for the following<br/>variables: CMJ, and FS; 2) In the<br/>Take-off Group (TG), significant<br/>improvements were obtained in<br/>the FS.</li> </ol>   | 81,25                |
| Franco-<br>Márquez et<br>al. (2015) | 40 (U-15).  | Quasi-experimental design<br>(before (Pre) and after<br>(Post) the 6-week<br>experimental period).   | 20 m all-out running<br>sprints, CMJ and FS<br>exercise.  | Anthropometrics: height,<br>body mass and the body<br>mass index (BMI). Maturity<br>status: peak height velocity<br>(PHV, Maturity Offset) and<br>percentage of predicted adult<br>stature (PAS, Khamis-Roche<br>method). | 1) Training resulted in a<br>significant improvement in T10-<br>20, T20 and CMJ, and a trend<br>toward a significant<br>improvement in T10 for Strength<br>Training Group.   | 87,50                |

# Table 2. Functional capacities of young soccer player's studies: authors, sample, procedures, main variables, results and quality score.

| Gil-Rey et al.<br>(2015) | 28 (U-19: elite (n = 14)<br>and non-elite (n = 14))<br>from a Spanish club. | Quasi-experimental design<br>(before (Pre) and after<br>(Post) the 9-week<br>experimental period).   | Lower-limb explosive<br>power (CMJ), CMJ arm<br>swing, 5 m and 15 m<br>sprints.  | Exhaustion time, CMJ, CMJ arm swing, sprint velocity.  | In relation to intra-group<br>differences in physical<br>performance, likely substantial<br>changes were found twice: small<br>practical improvement in the<br>Université de Montreal test for<br>the elite team and a moderate<br>practical impairment in the 15 m<br>sprint performance for non-elite<br>team. | 87,50 |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|-------|
| Haugen et<br>al. (2015)  | 45 (U-18) from four clubs<br>in Norway.                                     | Randomized controlled<br>trial: Control group (CON),<br>weekly repeated-sprint<br>training session (a) 100%<br>intensity without<br>supervision (100UNSUP), b)<br>90% of maximal sprint<br>speed with supervision<br>(90SUP) or c) 90% of<br>maximal sprint speed<br>without supervision<br>(90UNSUP). Intervention<br>period was 7 weeks. | 15 x 20 m repeated-<br>sprint, CMJ, YYIR1,<br>heart rate, blood<br>lactate concentration,<br>step length and step<br>rate.                           | Group intervention, physical<br>performance, heart rate,<br>blood lactate, step length and<br>step rate. | 1) At 90% velocity was<br>accompanied with reduced<br>HR <sub>peak</sub> , BLA and SR compared to<br>maximal sprinting; 2) It was<br>found a development of<br>repeated-sprint performance<br>(mean sprint time) for 100UNSUP<br>during the intervention period,<br>including pre- and post-training<br>tests.   | 93,75 |
| Keiner et al.<br>(2015)  | 40 (U-15, U-17 and U-19)<br>from Germany clubs.                             | Cross-Sectional study.   | Maximal isometric<br>trunk strength<br>lumbar/thoracic<br>extension (EXT) and<br>lumbar/ thoracic flexion<br>(FLEX), SJ, CMJ and the<br>30 m sprint. | Trunk strength, lower limb<br>strength and Sprint<br>performance.  | The data from this study showed<br>small, non-significant<br>correlations between the trunk<br>muscles' strength and their<br>performance in the sprint.   | 81,25 |

| Köklü et al.<br>(2015)             | 15 (U-17) from a Turkish<br>club.   | Cross-Sectional study.   | SJ, CMJ, 10 m and 30 m<br>sprints and Agility test<br>(Zig-Zag test, with and<br>without the ball).  | Speed, Agility and Jump<br>performance.  | 1) Moderate to strong<br>correlations between 10 m sprint<br>times and 30 m sprint times; 2)<br>30 m sprint times showed<br>moderate to strong correlations<br>with both CMJ performance and<br>with Zig-Zag without the ball; 3)<br>Strong correlation between CMJ<br>and SJ and between CMJ and Zig-<br>Zag without the ball. SJ<br>performance had a strong<br>correlation with Zig-Zag<br>performance without the ball. | 68,75 |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|-------|
| López-<br>Segovia et<br>al. (2015) | 21 (U-19) from a Spanish<br>club.   | Cross-Sectional study.   | 30 m linear sprint, 40 m<br>(2 x 20 m) shuttle<br>sprints, CMJ, maximal<br>kicking, 9 repeated-<br>explosive effort<br>sequences (RSA), a<br>progressive isoinertial<br>loading test in full squat<br>and YYIR1. | RSA <sub>mean1-9</sub> , RSA <sub>best</sub> , Sdec <sub>RSA1-9</sub> ,<br>CMJ <sub>best</sub> , CMJ <sub>mean</sub> , Kick <sub>best</sub> ,<br>Kick <sub>mean</sub> , YYIRT1, V <sub>1</sub> <sup>-</sup> load,<br>Lactate9. | 1) RSA <sub>best</sub> showed correlation<br>with jump height and sprint<br>capacity; 2) YYIR1 correlated with<br>RSA <sub>mean1-9</sub> when the body weight<br>was controlled.  | 87,50 |
| Malone et<br>al. (2015)            | 9 (U-18) from an elite<br>academy.  | Quasi-experimental design<br>(before (Pre) and after<br>(Post) the 1-week<br>experimental period). | CMJ, Training load was<br>quantified using global<br>positioning systems<br>(GPS), heart rate (HR),<br>and rating of perceived<br>exertion (RPE).  | CMJ performance, training<br>load variables (duration, total<br>distance, average speed,<br>high-speed distance, HR <sub>max</sub><br>and RPE.   | <ol> <li>There was no significant<br/>difference between pre-jump<br/>and post-jump height values<br/>related to the distance of the<br/>match day.</li> </ol>  | 87,50 |
| Malý et al.<br>(2015)              | 62 (U-16: n = 26, U-17: n<br>= 17, U-19: n = 19) from a<br>Czech Republic club. | Cross-Sectional study.   | Performance in sprints<br>for 5 m (S5), 10 m (S10)<br>and a flying sprint for<br>20 m (F20) after a 30 m<br>run-up, Counter<br>Movement Jump with<br>Free Arms (CMJFA) and<br>CMJ.                               | Acceleration speed,<br>maximum running speed and<br>lower limb power.  | 1) The test of between groups<br>effect (regarding the age)<br>revealed significant differences<br>in S5, S10 and F20 running speed<br>test; 2) In F20 test, it was found a<br>significant difference between<br>players of U-16 and U-19.  | 75,00 |

| Dragijsky et<br>al. (2016) | 59 (U-16: n = 26, U-17: n<br>= 14, U-19: n = 19) from<br>the highest Czech<br>leagues. | Cross-Sectional study.  | Acceleration speed: 5 m<br>and 10 m.  | Running time in 5m and 10<br>m.  | 1) The older players (U-19)<br>achieved better performance (5<br>m and 10 m) in comparison with<br>both U-17 and U-16 category.  | 68,75 |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|-------|
| Hammami<br>et al. (2016)   | 28 (U-16).   | Randomized controlled trial<br>with two groups (8 weeks):<br>Experimental (Plyometric<br>training) and Control<br>(Regular soccer activities).  | Repeated sprinting 4 x<br>5m (S 4 x 5m) and<br>sprinting over a 40 m<br>distance with timers set<br>at 5m, 10m, 20m 30<br>and 40m, sprinting 9-3-<br>6-3-9 m with 180° turns<br>(S 180°), followed by<br>repeated change-of-<br>direction test (RCOD),<br>Sprint 9-3-6-3-9 m with<br>backward and forward<br>running (SBF), RSSA. | Anthropometrical measures,<br>sprint speed, agility, repeated<br>shuttle sprint ability and<br>repeated changes of direction<br>scores.  | 1) The control group did not<br>show any significant differences<br>in anthropometric measures,<br>sprint, agility, RSSA, RCOD or<br>over the 8-week trial; 2)<br>Plyometric training program<br>induced significant increases in<br>sprint times for the experimental<br>group over distances of 5 m, 10<br>m and 20 m. | 81,25 |
| Makhlouf et<br>al. (2016)  | 57 (U-15) from a Tunisian<br>soccer club.  | Short-term (12 weeks)<br>randomized-parallel fully<br>controlled with pre-to-post<br>measurements: Control<br>group (n=14, only soccer<br>training), strength before<br>(SE, n=15) or after (ES,<br>n=14) endurance training<br>(intra-session), strength<br>and endurance training on<br>alternate days (ASE, n=14). | YYIR1, a progressive<br>maximal field-test,<br>squat and bench-press<br>(1RM), CMJ, SJ and the<br>5-jump test for<br>distance, medicine-ball<br>throw for distance (3<br>kg), 10 and 30 m<br>sprints, 15 m run with<br>and with-out the ball.   | Endurance performance,<br>Maximal strength, Lower-<br>limbs explosiveness, Upper-<br>limb explosiveness<br>assessment, Sprinting<br>performance, Change of<br>direction abilities. | 1) The CG showed significant pre-<br>to-post changes in the YYIR1, 30<br>m, agility 15 m and squat.  | 81,25 |
| Marques et<br>al. (2016)   |  | Quasi-experimental design<br>(before (Pre) and after (Post)<br>the experimental period).  | CMJ, overhead medicine<br>ball throw (5kg), 30 m<br>sprint and kicking ball<br>velocity.  | Anthropometrical measures,<br>physical performance and tactical<br>position.   | 1) Significant differences were found<br>among playing positions for CMJ,<br>throwing distance, and 20 m, and 30<br>m sprint times. 2) U-14 players had<br>lower results in CMJ, kicking velocity,<br>throwing distance, and 20 and 30 m<br>sprint times than the other two age<br>groups.                               | 68,75 |

| Negra et al.<br>(2016)      | 24 (U-13).  | Randomized controlled trial<br>with two groups: Control<br>and Experimental.                | 1RM of half squat, SJ<br>and CMJ, Multiple 5<br>bounds test, Standing<br>long jump test, Linear<br>sprint test: 5 m, 10 m,<br>20 m, and 30 m, Change<br>of direction tests: 1)<br>Illinois change of<br>direction test (ICODT)<br>and 2) T-Test.          | Chronological age, height,<br>body mass, stages of puberty<br>development by Tanner, age<br>at PHV, soccer experience<br>and physical performance. | 1) A significant main effect of<br>test was found for both, the CMJ<br>and the SJ; 2) Significant main<br>effect of test for all sprint<br>intervals (0-5m, 0-10m, 0-20m<br>and 0-30m sprint); 3) Significant<br>main effects of test were found<br>for the ICODT and the T-test. | 93,75 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|-------|
| Nikolaidis et<br>al. (2016) | 36 (U-14).  | Quasi-experimental design<br>(before (Pre) and after<br>(Post) the experimental<br>period). | CMJ and 20 m acceleration.  | Anthropometric<br>characteristics, lower limb<br>strength and acceleration.  | Compared to the baseline values,<br>an increase of body mass, height,<br>FFM and CMJ and a decrease in<br>BF were observed in the total<br>sample.  | 75,00 |
| Peñailillo et<br>al. (2016) | 63 (U-14) from a<br>professional club of the<br>Chilean First Division. | Cross-Sectional study.  | 5 m acceleration, 15<br>and 20 m sprint, agility<br>(Zig-Zag test), leg<br>extension one-<br>repetition maximum<br>(1RM).   | Knee extension maximum<br>muscle strength, running<br>speed over 5, 15 and 20 m<br>and agility.  | 1) Moderate to very high<br>correlations between maximum<br>muscle strength and 5 m, 15 m<br>and 20 m sprint time; 2) Age and<br>time very highly correlated with<br>15 and 20 m sprint time. Also,<br>moderate correlations between<br>age and 5 m sprint time and<br>agility.   | 81,25 |
| Selmi et al.<br>(2016)      | 24 (U-17) from a Tunisian<br>club.                                      | Cross-Sectional study.  | 3 repeated sprint sets<br>(RSS) protocols<br>consisting of two sets of<br>5 x 20 m sprints, with<br>15 s of active recovery<br>between repetitions<br>and with either 1-min<br>(RSS1), 2-min (RSS2), or<br>4-min (RSS4) recovery<br>periods between sets. | Physiological responses (HR<br>and BL), RPE, performance<br>indices of repeated sprint<br>sets (RSS) and aerobic power.                            | 1) The mean HR increased<br>significantly with the decrease of<br>recovery durations between sets;<br>2) No significant correlations<br>were found between all RSS<br>performance indices of the three<br>protocols and maximal aerobic<br>power.                                 | 75,00 |

| Spineti et al.<br>(2016) | 22 (U-19) from a Brazilian club of the first division. | Randomized experimental<br>design (8 weeks) with two<br>groups: Traditional<br>Strength Training (TST) and<br>Complex Contrast Training<br>(CCT). | Muscle architecture,<br>one repetition<br>maximum in squat in<br>the Smith machine<br>(1RM), CMJ, and RSSA.                             | Training types (Traditional<br>Strength Training (TST) and<br>Complex Contrast Training<br>(CCT)), muscle architecture,<br>lower limb strength (squat<br>and CMJ) and RSSA scores.                   | 1) For CCT, the %RSSA <sub>dec</sub> was<br>significantly reduced, and there<br>was a trend of reducing the RSSA<br>mean time in the CCT group in<br>comparison between pre and<br>post-training period; 2) Only the<br>CCT group showed a significant<br>increase in the CMJ after the<br>training period. | 81,25 |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|-------|
| Abade et al.<br>(2017)   | 22 (U-19) from a<br>Portuguese club.                   | Crossover controlled study.   | CMJ and Abalakov jump<br>(AJ) and 10 m and 20 m<br>sprints were tested<br>immediately after<br>warm up and 12<br>minutes after warm up. | Warm up types, vertical jump performance and sprint performance.   | Results showed that repeated<br>changes of direction and<br>plyometrics presented beneficial<br>effects to jump and sprint.   | 87,50 |
| Alonso et al.<br>(2017)  | 31 (U-15 and U-17) from<br>a Brazilian club.           | Cross-Sectional study.  | 10 m and 30 m sprints<br>and YYIR2.   | Anthropometrical measures,<br>maturational status, distance<br>traveled in the matches (total<br>distances, high and moderate<br>velocity intensities), sprint<br>performance, YYIR2<br>performance. | 1) Moderate correlations (YYIR2<br>x MI and YYIR2 x AI, VEL30 x AI,<br>VEL 10 x MI and between VEL 10<br>x AI); 2) Strong correlation<br>(VEL30 x MI, VEL 10 x YYIR2,<br>VEL30 x YYIR2, DIST. Total x MI,<br>DIST. Total x AI, DIST. Total x<br>YYIR2); 3) High correlation<br>(VEL30 x YYIR2).             | 81,25 |

| Chtara et al.<br>(2017)       | 42 (U-13) from a Tunisian<br>club.          | Randomized controlled trial<br>with four groups (6 weeks):<br>Control group (n = 10),<br>plyometric group (PLYO, n =<br>10), agility group (AG, n =<br>10), or repeated sprint (RS,<br>n = 12) training group. | Power lower limbs<br>(bilateral standing<br>horizontal jumps, and<br>unilateral horizontal<br>jumps), 30 m sprint, 20<br>m zig-zag, and RSA.  | Anthropometrics, maturity<br>status, soccer experience,<br>training types, power, and<br>running speed, agility and<br>repeated sprint ability.                             | 1) Post-training the PLYO > AG<br>and RS groups. Both latter<br>groups > CON group; 2)<br>Improvements in overall sprint<br>time and acceleration in the RS<br>group compared to the PLYO, AG<br>and CON groups; 3) Increases in<br>20 m zig-zag in AG and RS<br>training compared with the PLYO<br>and CON training; 4) The RS<br>group achieved greater<br>improvements in RSA <sub>best</sub> and<br>RSA <sub>meantime</sub> than PLYO and AG<br>groups. | 81,25 |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|-------|
| Cunha et al.<br>(2017)        | 46 (U-13 to U-18) from a<br>Brazilian club. | Cross-Sectional study.   | SJ, CMJ, 10 m and 30 m<br>sprint.   | Anthropometrics,<br>maturational status, power<br>(SJ and CMJ), sprint<br>performance.  | 1) The post-pubertal group was<br>older, more experienced in<br>competitive soccer, taller, and<br>heavier when compared with the<br>pre-pubertal and pubertal<br>groups; 2) For all physical<br>performance outputs, the<br>gradient was pre-pubertal <<br>pubertal < post-pubertal.   | 75,00 |
| Di Giminiani<br>et al. (2017) | 19 (U-13) from Italian<br>clubs.            | Single-group study over<br>two years.  | SJ, CMJ, pre-stretch<br>augmentation (CMJ-SJ),<br>leg stiffness hopping<br>test (HT), short sprint<br>performance 15 m<br>(SSP15) and 30 m<br>(SSP30), aerobic<br>endurance (test of<br>Leger, VO2max),<br>maximal heart rate, and<br>speed-strength<br>endurance (continuous<br>counter-movement-<br>jumps, CCMJ). | Explosive strength, pre-<br>stretch augmentation, leg<br>stiffness, sprint performances<br>(15m and 30m), aerobic<br>endurance, maximal HR and<br>speed-strength endurance. | 1) The aerobic performance<br>improved in young elite soccer<br>players from thirteen to fifteen<br>years old determining a<br>significant main effect on the<br>VO2 <sub>max</sub> ; 2) Increase for both SJ<br>and CMJ; 3) Sprint time<br>decreased on both SSP15 and<br>SSP30.   | 81,25 |

| Fiorilli et al.<br>(2017)              | 186 (U-12: n = 39, U-14: n<br>= 42, U-16: n = 70, U-18:<br>n = 35). | Cross-Sectional study.   | Change of Direction<br>Speed (CODS) by Illinois<br>Change of Direction<br>Test and Reactive<br>Agility (RA) by Y-Agility<br>Test.  | Age category and agility.   | Differences among the groups of<br>different-age players were<br>expected: U18 reached a lower<br>time for test execution.  | 81,25 |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|-------|
| Hammami<br>et al. (2017)               | 44 (U-17).  | Randomized controlled trial<br>with three groups (8<br>weeks): Control (standard<br>in-season regimen, n = 12),<br>Strength Training (ST, n =<br>16), Contrast Strength<br>Training (CST, n = 16). | 40 m sprint, 4 x 5 m<br>sprint (S4 x 5), 9-3-6-3-9<br>m sprint with 180°<br>turns (S180°), 9-3-6-3-9<br>m sprint with backward<br>and forward running<br>(SBF), RSSA, repeated<br>change of direction<br>(RCOD), SJ and CMJ. | Training type, running<br>velocity, agility, vertical jump<br>performance, lower-limb<br>muscle volume. | <ol> <li>The strength training group<br/>(ST) and the CST group (CSG)<br/>increased significantly in S180<sup>o</sup>,<br/>SBF, and S4 x 5 relative to CG,<br/>although the S4 x 5 also<br/>increased in CSG relative to SG;</li> <li>The RCOD parameters<br/>increased in CST relative to both<br/>ST and CG.</li> </ol> | 81,25 |
| Hernández-<br>Camacho et<br>al. (2017) | 17 (U-19) from a Spanish<br>amateur club.                           | Double-blind placebo-<br>controlled randomized<br>experimental.  | CMJ (in the beginning<br>and after training<br>session), caffeine<br>ingestion, RPE.   | CMJ performance.  | Jump height was significantly<br>improved between soccer<br>players that ingested caffeine<br>supplement.   | 81,25 |
| laia et al.<br>(2017)                  | 19 (U-19).  | Parallel two-group<br>experimental (5 weeks):<br>Control group (n = 10),<br>short- (5-15; n = 9), long-<br>(5-30; n = 10) rest interval-<br>based (RST) group.                                     | 20 m and 200 m sprint,<br>YYIR2, RSA, an aerobic<br>submaximal fitness<br>test.  | Training types, physical performance.   | 5-30 improved the 20 m sprint<br>time. In 5-15, 200 m sprint and<br>YYIR2 test performance<br>improved. Both 5-15 and 5-30<br>induced improvements in RSA <sub>t</sub><br>and RSAS <sub>dec</sub> following the<br>intervention.  | 87,50 |
| Kobal et al.<br>(2017)                 | 23 (U-17).  | Parallel two-group,<br>longitudinal: loaded vertical<br>and horizontal jumps (LJ, n<br>= 12) and unloaded vertical<br>and horizontal plyometrics<br>(UJ, n = 11).                                  | 5 m, 10 m, and 20 m<br>sprints, mean<br>propulsive power (MPP)<br>relative to the players'<br>BM in the JS exercise,<br>and performance in the<br>SJ and CMJ were<br>assessed pre- and post-<br>training period.             | Loaded and unloaded<br>plyometric training strategies,<br>speed and power<br>performance.               | 1) An increase in the vertical<br>jumps was observed for the LJ<br>group. In the UJ group an<br>increase was observed for both<br>vertical jumps; 2) A decrease in<br>the sprinting velocities along the<br>20 m course was found in the LJ<br>group.   | 87,50 |

| Otero-<br>Esquina et<br>al. (2017)    | 36 (U-17 to U-19) from a professional Spanish club. | Controlled non-randomised<br>study with three groups<br>(EXP1, n = 12; EXP2, n = 12<br>and Control, n = 12).   | CMJ, 10 m and 20 m<br>linear sprint, and a<br>change of direction test<br>(V-cut test).   | Training types, lower limb<br>power, sprint time and<br>agility.   | Within-group analysis:<br>improvements in CMJ variables<br>and COD in EXP1 and EXP2; 2)<br>Between-group analysis: greater<br>improvements in CMJ variables<br>in experimental groups in<br>comparison to CON; 3) EXP2<br>achieved a better performance in<br>20 m than EXP1 and CON.                                  | 81,25 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|-------|
| Perroni et<br>al. (2017)              | 112 (U-13 to U-19) from<br>an Italian club.         | Cross-Sectional study.   | CMJ.  | Maturity status, chronological<br>age, anthropometry and<br>countermovement jump<br>performance.   | <ol> <li>U-15: large correlations</li> <li>(chronological age and CMJ, FFM,<br/>and PDS; FFM x PDS; %BF x BMI);</li> <li>U-17: moderate correlations</li> <li>(chronological age x FFM and<br/>BMI), very large correlations</li> <li>(FFM x BMI); 3) U-19: small</li> <li>correlation (BMI x FFM and %BF).</li> </ol> | 81,25 |
| Rodríguez-<br>Rosell et al.<br>(2017) | 86 (U-13: n = 30, U-15: n<br>= 28 and U17: n = 28). | Randomized controlled trial<br>with two groups (6 weeks):<br>Control group (CG, n = 43),<br>Strength Training group<br>(STG, n = 43).  | 20 m all-out running<br>sprints, CMJ, and a<br>progressive isoinertial<br>loading test in a FS<br>exercise.                           | Training type, chronological<br>age, lower limb muscle<br>strength, jumping ability, and<br>sprint performance.                          | The training period resulted in<br>significant improvements in all<br>measured variables for STG<br>groups.  | 93,75 |
| Beato et al.<br>(2018)                | 19 (U-18) from an elite academy at Switzerland.     | Randomized pre-post<br>parallel<br>group trial (6 weeks):<br>Change of direction and<br>Jump group (CODJ-G, n =<br>11) and Change of direction<br>group (COD-G, n = 10<br>participants). | Long jump test, Triple<br>hop distance test (triple<br>hop test), Sprint 10, 30,<br>and 40 m and 505<br>Change of Direction<br>(COD). | Explosive strength abilities of<br>the leg muscles, rebounding<br>jump ability, short-sprint<br>ability, change of direction<br>ability. | 1) Exercise-induced changes in<br>performance for both COD group<br>and CODJ group after 6 weeks of<br>training; 2) CODJ group reported<br>substantially better results in<br>long jump test than in COD<br>group.   | 93,75 |

| Dokumaci et<br>al. (2018) | 20 (U-17).   | Cross-Sectional study. | RAS, 200 m sprint<br>performance test,<br>YYIR2.  | Age, anthropometrical<br>measures, oxidative stress<br>indices, aerobic and<br>anaerobic capacity.  | <ol> <li>Total antioxidant status (TAS)<br/>level was significantly correlated<br/>with the YYIR2 distance and<br/>VO2<sub>max</sub> performance values; 2)<br/>Oxidative stress index (OSI) was<br/>significantly correlated with the<br/>Total oxidant status (TOS) level in<br/>U17 soccer players.</li> </ol>    | 75,00 |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------|---|---|--|-------|
| Trecroci et<br>al. (2018) | 44 (U15, elite: n = 22,<br>sub-elite: n = 22) from<br>two Italian teams. | Cross-Sectional study. | YYIR1, 10 m sprint, CMJ,<br>modified Illinois agility<br>test (MICODT) and T-<br>drill with a ball. | Group factor (elite and sub-<br>elite), age, anthropometry<br>and fitness status,<br>acceleration, vertical jump<br>and change of direction<br>speed. | <ol> <li>Both elite and sub-elite groups<br/>of players presented non-<br/>significant differences in mean<br/>age, body height and mass; 2)</li> <li>Elite players were superior in the<br/>YYIR1; 3) a significant difference<br/>was found between groups for<br/>10 m sprint and CMJ<br/>performance.</li> </ol> | 81,25 |

Note: AJ = Abalakov jump; CMJ = Counter Movement Jump; SJ = Squat Jump; FS = Full Squat; YYIR1 = Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test level 1; YYIR2 = Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery level 2; RSA = Repeated-Sprint Ability; GPS = global positioning systems; RPE = rating of perceived exertion; RSSA = Repeated-shuttle-sprint Ability test; COD = Change of Direction.

# Match analysis

Fifteen articles related to match analysis were analyzed (Table 3). In all of them, official, friendly or competitive matches, were observed and analyzed — a considerable part of the match analysis studies used social networks as a tactical performance measure. Player's activities during the matches were also analyzed, especially regarding the covered distances in high velocity, the covered distances from players of different positions and functions, and the heart rate from players during sprints.

| Study                        | Sample (number,<br>category, nationality)  | Study design     | Instruments / Procedures   | Main variables   | Results   | Quality<br>score (%) |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|--|--|---|----------------------|
| Arruda et<br>al. (2015)      | 10 (U-15) from a<br>Brazilian academy.   | Cross-Sectional. | Five matches were played over 3<br>successive days. 1th and 2sd<br>matches: 25 x 25 min, 10 min<br>interval, 3rd and 4th: 25 x 25 min,<br>10 min interval, and 5th: 30 x 30<br>min, 15 min interval. | Match activities (total distance covered,<br>total distance per minute, total distance<br>in high-intensity running, number of<br>high-intensity runs, high intensity run per<br>minute, frequency of accelerations,<br>accelerations per minute, body-load<br>impacts, body-load impacts per minute). | The only significant differences<br>observed between matches were<br>for the frequency of accelerations<br>per minute, body-load impacts,<br>and body-load impacts per<br>minute.   | 93,75                |
| Buchheit<br>et al.<br>(2015) | 7 (Australian U-17<br>National team) and 6<br>(Bolivian U-18 team).                      | Cross-Sectional. | Both teams played two matches<br>at sea level and then three<br>matches at 3600 m, on Days 1, 6<br>and 13 at altitude; 10 Hz GPS.  | 80% of velocity in Yo-YoIR1 and Distance<br>covered at speeds greater than 14.4<br>km.h <sup>-1</sup>  | Bolivians performed moderately<br>better at altitude, and ran<br>largely-to-moderately more than<br>their Australians counterparts;<br>Distance > 80% vYo-YoIR1 was<br>moderately greater in Bolivians at<br>sea-level and during the first<br>altitude game; There was a<br>greater decrement in both vYo-<br>YoIR1 and Distance>14.4 km·h <sup>-1</sup><br>in Australians compared with<br>Bolivians. | 56,25                |
| Atan et al.<br>(2016)        | 85 (U-13, n = 28; U-<br>14, n = 27; U-15, n =<br>15) from Auckland<br>Soccer Federation. | Cross-Sectional. | Players were analyzed in two competitive matches; 15Hz GPS.  | Match heart rate, match running performances, sprint performance.  | Match HR: The peak HR U-15 > U-<br>13 and U-14. Total Distance in<br>Absolute Value (m): Absolute<br>total distance U-15 > U-14 and U-<br>13. The U-13 players' HIR<br>distance was higher compared<br>with U-15.   | 87,50                |
| Clemente<br>et al.<br>(2016) | 22 (U-14) from two<br>amateur Portuguese<br>teams.                                       | Cross-Sectional. | Social network analysis in 5 competitive matches.  | Degree centralization, Degree prestige or<br>in-degree centrality and betweenness<br>centrality.   | Correlation analysis: A positive<br>and moderate correlation was<br>found between dribbling test and<br>betweenness centrality, and a<br>negative moderate correlation<br>was found between %fatigue<br>index and betweenness<br>centrality.  | 75,00                |

# Table 3. Match analysis of young soccer player's studies: authors, sample, study design, main variables, results and quality score.

| Fernandes<br>-da-Silva<br>et al.<br>(2016) | 33 (U-16).   | Cross-Sectional. | Time-motion analysis in 2 friendly<br>11-a-side matches and one 7-a-<br>side match; 10Hz GPS.   | Match activities (standing - ST, walking -<br>W, jogging - J, medium-intensity running<br>- MIR, high-intensity running - HIR,<br>sprinting - SPR, high-intensity activity -<br>HIA: HIR + SPR).       | Comparison analysis: i) Players in<br>the High group had higher mean<br>values for TD covered and HIR<br>compared to players classified in<br>the Low group; ii) Players in the<br>High group covered, on average,<br>42% and 23–31% more distance<br>at sprinting and HIA, respectively,<br>than those players classified as<br>having Intermediate and Low<br>performance on the T-CAR;  | 81,25 |
|--|--|------------------|---|--|--|-------|
| Mikulic et<br>al. (2016)                   | 24 (U-17) teams<br>competing in group<br>phase of 2015 World<br>Soccer Championship<br>in Chile. | Cross-Sectional. | Data about situational efficacy<br>parameters of teams competing<br>in group phase of 2015 U-17<br>World soccer championship in<br>Chile were downloaded from<br>FIFA's official website. | Ball possession, goal kicks, kicks inside<br>the frame, kicks outside the frame,<br>corner, free kicks, offside, fouls, yellow<br>cards, red cards, goals scored, goals<br>received.                   | Difference between teams that<br>passed the group phase and did<br>not passed: i) ball possession, ii)<br>number of goals scored and iii)<br>number of goals received in a<br>match.   | 46,67 |
| Oliveira et<br>al. (2016)                  | 70 (U-12) from six<br>teams.   | Cross-Sectional. | Social network analysis in competitive matches.   | Indegree centrality, Outdegree centrality<br>and Betweenness centrality.   | <ul> <li>i) the two-way MANOVA revealed<br/>that players' positions had<br/>significant main effects on<br/>network centrality measures; ii)<br/>With ANOVA, significant<br/>differences were found between<br/>positions in IDC, ODC, and BC<br/>variables.</li> </ul>  | 75,00 |
| Ortega et<br>al. (2016)                    | 22 (U-19) from two<br>Spanish clubs.   | Cross-Sectional. | Technical Performance with TSAP<br>and Social Network analysis in<br>one experimental match.  | <i>Technical analysis</i> : Volume of play,<br>Attacks with Ball and Efficiency index.<br><i>Social network analysis</i> : Indegree<br>centrality, Outdegree centrality and<br>Betweenness centrality. | Heart rate analysis: The two-way<br>MANOVA revealed that the tactical<br>position had significant main effects<br>on the technical performance. No<br>statistical differences were found<br>between halves. <i>Technical</i><br><i>performance analysis</i> : The two-way<br>MANOVA revealed that the tactical<br>position had significant main effects<br>on the technical performance.<br><i>Network analysis</i> : The two-way<br>MANOVA revealed that the tactical<br>position had significant main effects<br>on the technical performance.<br><i>Network analysis</i> : The two-way<br>MANOVA revealed that the tactical<br>position had significant main effects<br>on the tactical prominence. | 56,25 |

| Bravo-<br>Sanchez<br>et al.<br>(2017) | 154 (U-12) from the<br>Royal Spanish Soccer<br>Federation. | Cross-Sectional. | Match running performance;<br>15Hz GPS.   | Match activity and technical analysis.  | Match activity: 1) High-intensity<br>distance was higher in the 7-a-<br>side than the 8-a-side game<br>modality; 2) The 7-a-side game<br>modality also showed greater<br>very high intensity distance,<br>sprint distance, total distance<br>covered and W/R than the 8-a-<br>side game modality.  | 75,00 |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|---|---|--|-------|
| Brito et al.<br>(2017)                | 66 (U-14) from 3<br>Portuguese teams.                      | Cross-Sectional. | 9 matches were performed and<br>analyzed (three soccer matches<br>per surface condition at each<br>week); 10Hz GPS. | Match activity and technical analysis.  | Match activities: 1) TD covered<br>on artificial turf > natural turf.<br>Also, there was a trend to the<br>players to cover a greater<br>distance in LIR and VHIR<br>categories on the artificial turf<br>compared to natural turf, 2)<br>Central midfielders presented the<br>greatest TD.  | 68,75 |
| Caballero<br>et al.<br>(2017)         | 132 (U-18) matches<br>from Spanish regional<br>league.     | Cross-Sectional. | Match analysis.   | Score difference, Final team standings<br>from 1 to 12, Game location, Scoring<br>first, Cards, Substitutions, Rival team<br>quality and Field surface. | <ol> <li>The home teams, when they<br/>win, score the first goal on the<br/>79.4% of the times, they make<br/>more substitutions and receive<br/>less cards than the opposite<br/>team, 2) If the away teams score<br/>the first goal, they win 63.3% of<br/>their games, they do more<br/>substitutions when they loss or<br/>tie, and get less cards, 3) Scoring<br/>first, game location and number<br/>of substitutions are significant<br/>variables with positive values.</li> </ol> | 60,00 |

| Gonçalves<br>et al.<br>(2017)      | 44 (U-15, n = 22; U-<br>17, n = 22) from elite<br>Portuguese teams.  | Cross-Sectional.  | Match analysis: two matches (U-<br>15 and U-17, 50 min with 10 min<br>of recovery); 5Hz GPS.   | Match analysis performance: Number of<br>successful passes, Number of shots,<br>Teams' efficacy (efficacy = number of<br>goals * 100 / number of shots). Network<br>analysis: Closeness centrality,<br>Betweenness centrality, Positioning<br>relations. | U-15 results: 1) U15 team with<br>lower performance (team B), the<br>defence central midfielder (DCM)<br>presented higher importance in<br>the network regarding both the<br>closeness and the betweenness<br>measures. U-17 results: 1) The<br>lateral central midfielder (LCM)<br>of the lower performance team<br>in U17 presented higher<br>importance in the network<br>regarding both the closeness and<br>the betweenness measures. | 75,00 |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|-------|
| lacono et<br>al. (2017)            | 24 (U-19).   | Fully controlled<br>observational<br>design with pre-<br>post condition<br>assessments. | Observational design with pre-<br>post condition assessments.<br>During official matches were<br>compared with those induced by<br>the experimental game-profile-<br>based training (GPBT) format in<br>elite soccer players; 15 Hz GPS. | Relative distance covered (RDC), Relative<br>high speed distance (HSD), High intensity<br>efforts (HIE), PowerZ1-5: metabolic<br>power in Zones 1 to 5, HR, BLA, RPE.  | 1) Between-groups differences<br>were found in all the time-motion<br>variables, 2) Post-GPBT RPE was<br>significantly higher than post-NL<br>and post-UYL matches, 3) Time<br>and group effects were detected<br>in both SJ and CMJ performance<br>values.  | 81,25 |
| Sevil-<br>Serrano et<br>al. (2017) | 186 (U-10, n = 8; U-<br>12, n = 7; U-14, n =<br>11; U-16, n = 11; and<br>U-19, n = 11) from a<br>Spanish club. | Cross-Sectional.  | Analysis of decision making of<br>soccer players by the GPET<br>observation instrument. For this<br>purpose, two matches for each<br>team were recorded.   | Total of decisions and the success of decision making.   | <ol> <li>The U-19 group presented the<br/>highest values for the pass<br/>action, with the U-10 group<br/>presenting the lowest<br/>percentage, 2) For the dribbling<br/>action the U-19 group showed<br/>the highest percentage of<br/>suitable decisions, 3) For<br/>shooting at goal, the U-12 players<br/>made the best decisions, and<br/>were significantly better than the<br/>U-14 and U-16 groups.</li> </ol>                     | 81,25 |

| Figueira et<br>al. (2018) | 44 (U-15 and U-17)<br>from an elite<br>Portuguese team. | Cross-Sectional. | Three games were performed: 1)<br>U-15 vs. U-15, 2) U-17 vs. U-17<br>and 3) U-15 mixed with U-17 vs.<br>U-15 mixed with U-17. All games<br>respected the official soccer rules<br>and were played on an official<br>size natural turf pitch (105 x 70<br>m); 5 Hz GPS. | 1) <i>Match activities</i> : total distance<br>covered, distance covered at different<br>movement speed categories, distance<br>between players (DBP), 2) <i>Positional</i><br><i>variables</i> : variability in the distance<br>between players (CV), regularity in the<br>distance between players (ApEn),<br>frequency of near-in-phase<br>synchronization in longitudinal and<br>lateral displacements, spatial exploration<br>index (SEI). | distance covered while sprinting<br>was also found in games against<br>mixed opposition in the 1st half,<br>2) ApEn showed a decrease<br>during the 2nd half when<br>compared to the mixed scenario,<br>3) lateral displacements<br>presented higher values when<br>playing with players of the same<br>age group in both halves; <i>U17</i> : 1)<br>ApEn values were likely higher in<br>the mixed condition, in both<br>halves, showing less regularity in<br>the distance between players, 2)<br>In the 1th half, players presented<br>higher values when playing mixed | 81,25 |
|---------------------------|---|------------------|--|---|--|-------|
|---------------------------|---|------------------|--|---|--|-------|

Note: HR = Heart rate, DC = Distance covered, TDC = Total distance covered, BLA = Blood lactate, RPE = Rating of perceived exertion.

# Maturity status

Among the analyzed studies, 55.5% performed the Maturity Offset protocol (21) to classify the maturity status of the players. The sexual observation method from Tanner (22) and the skeletal age assessment methods (TW2 from Tanner, Whitehouse (23), Fels method from Roche, Chumlea (24), and TW3 from Tanner, Healy (25)) were also used to do the maturational analysis in the articles that were part of this review (Table 4).

Table 4. Maturity of young soccer player's studies: authors, sample, study design, maturity assessment, main variables, results and quality score.

| Study                                    | Sample  | Study<br>design                   | Maturity assessment<br>method  | Main variables  | Results  | Quality<br>score (%) |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|
| Bidaurrazaga-<br>Letona et al.<br>(2015) | 55 (U-14: 12.61 ±<br>0.6 years) from<br>Athletic Club Bilbao.                     | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald et al.<br>(2002).                           | Velocity, agility, hand<br>grip, CMJ, and YYIR1<br>performances, CA, MS,<br>skinfolds and height.               | <ol> <li>CA accounted for 29% of the variance in the<br/>velocity test whereas CA and sum of skinfolds<br/>explained 33%, 41%, 28% and 58% of the variance<br/>in the agility test, the YYIR1, the CMJ and the<br/>SCORE, respectively, 2) Height contributed to the<br/>50% of the variance in the handgrip test and three<br/>variables contribute to 60% of the variance in the<br/>HG: CA, height and sum of skinfolds.</li> </ol> | 81,25                |
| Teixeira et al.<br>(2015)                | 92 (U-12, n = 15; U-<br>14, n = 54 and U-16,<br>n = 23) from a<br>Brazilian club. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | SA with Posterior-<br>anterior X-rays of the<br>left hand-wrist, Fels<br>(1988). | CA, MS or SA, stature,<br>body mass, peak<br>velocity, and fat mass,<br>FFM.                                    | 1) For the sample as a whole, 12 players were<br>delayed, 44 players were on time, and 36 players<br>were advanced in SA relative to CA, 2) All variables<br>differed significantly across age groups except<br>estimated FM, 3) Within the U-14 group, players<br>advanced in skeletal maturation were significantly<br>heavier and taller than average (on time) and late<br>maturing players.                                       | 87,50                |
| van der Sluis et<br>al. (2015)           | 26 (U-13) from a<br>Dutch club.   | Mixed-<br>Longitudina<br>I study. | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002).                                     | Age, match hours,<br>training hours, PHV,<br>traumatic and overuse<br>injury incidence.                         | <ol> <li>The mean age at PHV was 14.04 ± 0.65 years for<br/>the whole group, 2) 178 injuries were recorded<br/>among the 26 players, 67 in the group of players<br/>maturing at a younger age (PHV &lt; 13.92) and 111<br/>in the group of players maturing at an older age<br/>(PHV ≥ 13.92).</li> </ol>  | 75,00                |
| Cunha et al.<br>(2016)                   | 79 (U-14, U-17 and<br>U-18) from a<br>Brazilian club.                             | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | MS with Tanner (1962).   | Group (prepubertal,<br>pubertal and<br>postpubertal), aerobic<br>fitness, RCP, VT and<br>body size descriptors. | <ol> <li>Regarding height and weight: prepubescent &lt;<br/>pubescent &lt; postpubescent; 2) The effects of<br/>biological maturation on VO2peak, RCP, and VT<br/>values after appropriate normalization relative to<br/>LLMV: prepubescent &lt; pubescent &lt; postpubescent.</li> </ol>  | 75,00                |
| Cunha et al.<br>(2016b)                  | 201 (11-19 years<br>old) from a Brazilian<br>club.                                | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | MS with Tanner (1962).   | MS, CA, playing<br>position, physical and<br>physiological<br>parameters.                                       | <ol> <li>Regarding height and weight: prepubescent &lt;<br/>pubescent &lt; postpubescent, 2) Chronological age<br/>showed medium positive effect relatively to body<br/>mass values of VO2peak, VT2 and VT1, 3) large<br/>positive effects of biological maturation status and<br/>chronological age on MAS and VT2speed were<br/>observed.</li> </ol>   | 81,25                |

| Gouvea et al.<br>(2016)  | 60 (U-14, n = 28; U-<br>17, n = 32) from a<br>Brazilian club. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SA with Greulich-Pyle<br>method (1959).      | CA, SA, body mass,<br>height, BMI, Fat mass,<br>YYIE1, HG, SJ, CMJ, SR-<br>t, SIT, STS.  | <ol> <li>U-17 category athletes presented superior<br/>results in all analyzed tests, except the SR test, 2)</li> <li>In U-14 (YYIE1) the on-time athletes outperforming<br/>the early, 3) In the U-17 category, the late<br/>maturers presented lower body mass and height<br/>values than the other athletes, 4) differences<br/>between maturational stages were detected only<br/>for flexibility (on-time &gt; late) and hand grip<br/>strength (early = on time &gt; late).</li> </ol> | 81,25 |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| Hammami et al.<br>(2016) | 130 (U-11 to U-19)<br>from Tunisia.                           | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002). | Age, MS, balance,<br>muscle strength, and<br>lower limb power (SLJ,<br>CMJ and 3HJ-t).   | <ol> <li>34 were categorized as pre-PHV, 42 as circa<br/>PHV, and 54 as post-PHV, 2) pre-PHV</li> <li>outperformed circa PHV in the Stork test with eyes<br/>closed. Post- PHV was better than circa PHV in<br/>CMJ, and 3HJ-t. Post-PHV demonstrated better<br/>scores than pre-PHV for CMJ, and 3HJ-t.</li> </ol>  | 75,00 |
| Portas et al.<br>(2016)  | 1163 male English<br>Soccer League<br>soccer players.         | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002). | MS and FMS scores.   | There was a substantial increase in the proportion<br>of players who were able to achieve the FMS <sub>total</sub><br>threshold of ≥14 with an increase of 47.5% from<br>the MF <sub>phase</sub> to the EPD <sub>phase</sub> . The increase in total<br>score was further explained by substantial<br>increases in the proportion of players who<br>achieved the threshold score in both FMS <sub>move</sub> and<br>FMS <sub>stab</sub> .  | 87,50 |
| Rada et al.<br>(2016)    | 47 (U-16) from<br>Croatia.                                    | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002). | CA, biological and<br>training age<br>(categorical variables).<br>Height, sitting height,<br>body mass, BMI, PHV,<br>YYIR1, Speed (5 m and<br>20 m), lower limb<br>power (SLJ, VJ), upper<br>limb power (MBST)<br>and agility. | 1) All chronologically and biologically more mature<br>soccer players with longer engagement in the<br>training process had greater body and sitting<br>height, they were heavier and had a higher body<br>mass index. They also achieved better results in all<br>the observed motor abilities, as well as the<br>functional test.  | 68,75 |

| Borges et al.<br>(2017).      | 37 (U-16) from a<br>Brazilian club.                                 | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.                       | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002).  | PHV, practice time,<br>anthropometric<br>variables, body<br>composition,<br>functional capacities,<br>specific motor skills,<br>and declarative<br>tactical knowledge.              | <ol> <li>A significant difference was observed in<br/>anthropometric variables, 2) Specific motor skills:<br/>significant difference only in handgrip indicators in<br/>favor of the post-PHV group, 3) Negative<br/>correlation between PHV and DTK.</li> </ol>   | 75,00 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|-------|
| McCunn et al.<br>(2017)       | 306 (U-11 to U-17)<br>from a Scottish<br>Premiership club.          | Observatio<br>nal, mixed-<br>longitudinal<br>study. | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002).  | RAE, MS, stature, body<br>mass and sprint<br>performance.   | 1) The magnitude of the relationship between<br>maturity offset and 15 m sprinting speed was<br>trivial for U-11 and U-12, very likely small for U-13,<br>possibly large for U-14, very likely large for U-15,<br>and likely small for U-17.   | 75,00 |
| Rechenchosky<br>et al. (2017) | 68 (U-11, n = 22; U-<br>13, n = 14; U-15, n =<br>21; U-17, n = 11). | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.                       | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002).  | Age, MS, body mass,<br>height, physical<br>performance (YYIR1,<br>CMJ, and 30 m sprint),<br>technical performance<br>(specific motor skills:<br>passing, kicking and<br>dribbling). | <ol> <li>Body mass and height helped to explain,<br/>together, 60% of the variability of speed and 55%<br/>of contribution to aerobic power, 2) Dribbling<br/>showed to be influenced by body mass and height,</li> <li>performance in the YYIR1, 30 m sprint, and CMJ<br/>were influenced by age category.</li> </ol>               | 81,25 |
| Romann et al.<br>(2017)       | 119 (U-15) from a<br>Swiss club.                                    | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.                       | Skeletal age with TW3,<br>Tanner et al. (2001)) and<br>PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002). | Maturity<br>categories by coaches'<br>eye, maturity<br>categories from SA-CA,<br>APHV.  | 1) Mean APHV was $13.9 \pm 0.3$ years, 2) The<br>agreement between the SA-CA classifications and<br>the estimations by coaches' eye is 73.9%. Between<br>the SA-CA classifications and APHV is 65.5%.3.<br>Cross-tabulations of the maturity status<br>classifications based on coaches' eye and APHV<br>show an agreement of 78.2%. | 87,5  |

| Cumming et al.<br>(2018)   | 66 (U-12, n = 6; U-<br>13, n = 35; U-14, n =<br>19; U-15, n = 6), but<br>only 16 were<br>selected to be<br>include in the bio-<br>banded sample. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.   | Bio-banded method.   | Interview<br>transcriptions after<br>anthropometric<br>assessments, a bio-<br>banded tournament<br>(11-a-side games with<br>25-min halves).             | <ol> <li>Physical development: early and late maturing<br/>players agreed that bio-banding created a<br/>physically more equitable playing field, reducing<br/>differences in player size and function. The early<br/>and late maturing players also reported that the<br/>practice of bio-banding encouraged a style of play<br/>that was less physical and more technically and<br/>tactically oriented, 2) Technical and Tactical<br/>development: such competitions afforded more<br/>opportunity for coaches to evaluate their potential<br/>in a more developmentally appropriate context, 3)<br/>Overall impression: participants described the<br/>tournament as a positive and welcome addition to<br/>their games programme and recommended that<br/>the Premier League continue with this initiative.</li> </ol> | 68,75 |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|-------|
| Doncaster et al.<br>(2018) | 21 (U-14).   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.   | Self-assessment MS with<br>Tanner (1962) and PHV<br>with Maturity Offset,<br>Mirwald (2002). | Age, stature, body<br>mass, MS (Maturity<br>Offset and Tanner<br>stage), sum of 4<br>skinfolds, body fat,<br>FFM, training years<br>and training hours. | <ol> <li>Differences in anthropometric and descriptive<br/>measurements revealed significant differences and<br/>large effect sizes for stature, BM, FFM, and<br/>Maturity Offset, with the mid-PHV group<br/>presenting greater values than the pre-PHV group,</li> <li>years spent training also revealed significant and<br/>large effect sizes between groups, but with the<br/>pre- PHV group demonstrating greater values than<br/>the mid- PHV group.</li> </ol>   | 87,50 |
| Malina et al.<br>(2018)    | 1831 (10.93 to 17.94<br>years old) from eight<br>countries.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.   | SA with TW2, Tanner et<br>al. (1975) and SA with<br>TW3, Tanner et al.<br>(2001).            | CA, TW2 SA and TW3<br>SA scores.  | <ol> <li>The difference between TW3 and TW2 SAs in<br/>each CA group is significant, 2) TW3 SAs are, on<br/>average, systematically less than TW2 SAs by about<br/>1 year or more.</li> </ol>   | 87,50 |
| Moran et al.<br>(2018)     | 17 (U-12, n = 25; U-<br>15, n = 17) from<br>three English<br>academies.  | Quasi-<br>experiment<br>al study (U-<br>12, Training<br>= 12,<br>Control =<br>13; U-15,<br>Training =<br>7, Control =<br>10). | PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002).   | PHV, 10 m and 20 m<br>sprint performances,<br>5-10-5 COD.   | 1) Between-group effect sizes were substantially<br>larger in Pre-PHV (10 m, 20 m, 5-10-5 COD <sub>test</sub> ) than<br>in Mid-PHV (10 m, 20 m, 5-10-5 COD <sub>test</sub> ), 2) Within-<br>group effects demonstrated a similar, though less<br>accentuated, trend which revealed ST to be<br>effective in both Pre-PHV (10 m, 20 m, 5-10-5<br>COD <sub>test</sub> ) and Mid-PHV (10 m, 20 m, 5-10-5 COD <sub>test</sub> ).  | 87,50 |

| Read et al.<br>(2018)347 (10 to 18 years<br>old) from the<br>academies of 6<br>Sectional<br>English professional<br>soccer clubs.PHV with Maturity<br>Offset, Mirwald (2002).Single-leg 75%<br>horizontal hop and<br>stick, single-leg CMJ<br>(SLCMJ), single-leg hop<br>for distance (SLHD), Y-<br>balance anterior reach<br>(Y-Bal).of maturation, with significantly higher sco<br>both the circa- and post-PHV players, 2)<br>magnitude of asymmetries was significant<br>greater for landing force variables during<br>anterior reach distance, 3) With all the play<br>anterior reach distance, 3) With all the play<br>combined, asymmetry was greatest during<br>(85%), followed by the 75% Hop (86%) |
|---|
| Note: CA - Chronological ago, CA - Chalatal ago, MC - maturational status, DUV - Dook Height Valasity, ADUV - ago at Dook Height Valasity, FEM - fat from   |

Note: CA = Chronological age, SA = Skeletal age, MS = maturational status, PHV = Peak Height Velocity, APHV = age at Peak Height Velocity, FFM = fat-free mass, RAE = Relative Age Effect, RCP = respiratory compensation point, VT = ventilatory thresholdand, STS = Specific technical skills, SR-t = sit-and-reach test, SIT = modified sit-up test, HG = hand grip, 3HJ-t = 3-hop jump test, VJ = Vertical jump, CMJ = Countermovement jump, SLJ = standing long jump, MBST = medicine ball squat throw, ST = Sprint training.

# Multidimensional and career progression

As mentioned in the introduction, it is becoming more common to find studies with more than one variable analyzed to answer the research question (Table 5). Moreover, it was also included studies about the youth soccer player's career progression in an attempt to show which variables predict the success in soccer.

# Table 5. Multidimensional and career progression of young soccer player's studies: authors, sample, study design, procedures, main variables, results and quality score.

| Study                       | Sample  | Study design              | Procedure   | Main variables   | Results   | Quality score (%) |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|
| Deprez<br>et al.<br>(2015)  | 356 (U-12 to U-<br>15) Flemish.                                 | Longitudinal<br>study.    | Height, weight, sitting height, CA, PHV,<br>hamstring flexibility (sit-and-reach<br>test), Motor coordination<br>(Körperkoordinations Test für Kinder<br>(KTK): moving sideways (MS),<br>backward balancing (BB), and jumping<br>sideways (JS), jumping performance<br>(SBJ and CMJ). | Age, APHV,<br>anthropometrical<br>characteristics, flexibility,<br>motor coordination, and<br>jumping performance. | 1) Players improved with age on all<br>parameters, 2) significant differences<br>between latest, average, and earliest<br>maturing players at baseline were found<br>for anthropometrical characteristics, SAR<br>and SBJ.  | 81,25             |
| Fragoso<br>et al.<br>(2015) | 133 (U-15) from<br>a Portuguese top<br>elite soccer<br>academy. | Longitudinal<br>study.    | Birth dates by birth quarters (Q1, first;<br>Q2, second; Q3, third; Q4, fourth) and<br>by semesters (S1, first; S2, second), SA,<br>stature, body mass, thigh, calf and<br>upper arm girths, and fitness profile<br>(10 m and 30 m sprint times, SJ, CMJ,<br>and YYIR).               | Birth dates, biological<br>maturity, anthropometric<br>profile, fitness profile.                                   | <ol> <li>Significant differences were found for<br/>decimal age and SA by quarters (Q4-Q1,<br/>Q2) and semesters, and stature (Q3-Q1,<br/>Q2, Q4; S1-S2), body mass (Q1-Q3; S1-<br/>S2), thigh girth (S1-S2), SJ (Q1-Q2) and<br/>sprint time (Q4-Q1, Q2; S1-S2), 2) When<br/>maturity was considered as covariate all<br/>PF variables, with exception of SJ (Q1-Q2)<br/>and 10 m sprint time (S1-S2), were very<br/>similar among the studied groups.</li> </ol> | 81,25             |
| Lloyd et<br>al.<br>(2015)   | 30 (U-11 to U-16)<br>from a club from<br>the United<br>Kingdom. | Cross-Sectional<br>study. | PHV, FMS, SJ, reactive strength index protocol and reactive agility cut.  | Maturity status,<br>movement performance<br>and physical<br>performance.   | 1) Older players significantly<br>outperformed younger participants in all<br>tests, 2) Deep overhead squat, in-line<br>lunge, active straight leg raise and rotary<br>stability test were significantly correlated<br>to all performance tests, 3) The greatest<br>variance in reactive strength index and<br>reactive agility cut performance, whilst<br>maturation was the strongest predictor<br>of SJ performance.   | 81,25             |

| Forsman<br>et al.<br>(2016) | 288 from 16<br>Finnish clubs.                     | Retrospective cohort study. | A Perceived Game-Specific Soccer<br>Competence Scale, Tactical Skills<br>Inventory for Sports, subscale<br>Motivation from The Psychological<br>Skills Inventory for Sports, Dribbling<br>and passing skills were measured to<br>examine technical skills of the players,<br>30 m sprint and 8 figure for agility. | Perceived competence,<br>tactical skills, motivation,<br>technical skills, and speed<br>and agility characteristics.   | Positive relationships were found<br>between these levels and changes in<br>perceived competence and motivation,<br>and levels of perceived competence and<br>speed and agility characteristics.   | 87,50 |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| Aquino<br>et al.<br>(2017)  | 66 (U-17) from a<br>Brazilian club.               | Retrospective cohort study. | Height, sitting height, weight,<br>skinfolds: triceps, subscapular, chest,<br>midaxillary, suprailiac, abdominal and<br>mid-thigh, PHV, DTK, soccer-specific<br>technical skills, and 30 m sprint, CMJ,<br>RAST and YYIR1 performances.  | Anthropometrical<br>characteristics, PHV,<br>tactical skills, technical<br>skills and physical<br>performances.        | In general, selected players were taller,<br>had more lean body mass, and<br>performed better in technical, tactical,<br>and motor tests than non-selected<br>players.   | 87,50 |
| Borges<br>et al.<br>(2017)  | 48 (U-16) from a<br>Brazilian club.               | Cross-Sectional<br>study.   | Weight, height, and sitting height,<br>PHV, physical performances: sit-and-<br>reach test, YYIR1, HG, modified<br>abdominal test, and vertical jumps:<br>CMJ and SJ and tactical performance<br>(FUT-SAT).   | Anthropometrical<br>characteristics, PHV,<br>physical performances<br>and IPT.   | <ol> <li>Early maturational players presented<br/>higher values in the performance of<br/>physical performances such as aerobic<br/>endurance and lower limb muscle<br/>strength, 2) Tactical principles offensive<br/>coverage, offensive unity, and<br/>concentration were executed more<br/>frequently by the Post-PHV group than<br/>the Pre-PHV. Significant correlations<br/>were observed between PHV and the<br/>principles offensive coverage, offensive<br/>unity, and concentration.</li> </ol> | 87,50 |
| Gouvea<br>et al.<br>(2017)  | 62 (U-12 to U-17)<br>from two<br>Brazilian clubs. | Cross-Sectional<br>study.   | CA, SA (Greulich-Pyle method), height,<br>weight, BMI, Body fat percentage, sit-<br>and-reach test, sit-ups, SJ and CMJ,<br>YYIE1, Soccer-specific skills: dribbling<br>speed test, shuttle dribble test, slalom<br>dribble test and practice time.  | CA, SA, anthropometrical<br>characteristics, physical<br>performances, technical<br>performances and<br>practice time. | <ol> <li>The 3 technical tests were correlated.<br/>More skilled subjects in DST and SDT<br/>showed (respectively) higher time of<br/>practice, and greater performance sit-<br/>ups, squat jump, countermovement<br/>jump, and YYIE1 compared to the less<br/>skilled subjects; 2) More skilled subjects<br/>in SLDT showed greater performance<br/>only in the squat jump and YYIE1.</li> </ol>  | 81,25 |

| James<br>et al.<br>(2017)  | 60 (U-12 to U17)<br>from United<br>Kingdom.                     | Cross-Sectional<br>study. | Height, weight, maximum forearm<br>girth, skinfolds: triceps and calf, CMJ,<br>hand-grip strength, 30 m sprint<br>running, and an agility T-test.  | CA, anthropometrical<br>measures, physical<br>fitness.            | <ol> <li>Players with fast sprint performance<br/>for their age were taller and had high<br/>performance in jump power output and<br/>grip strength for their age, 2) Absolute<br/>sprint performance was significantly<br/>correlated with absolute agility<br/>performance.</li> </ol> | 87,50 |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|---|--|-------|
| Sporis<br>et al.<br>(2017) | 37 (U-19) from<br>six Croatian<br>clubs.                        | Cross-Sectional<br>study. | Height, weight, skinfolds (triceps,<br>subscapular, thigh, calf, supraspinal<br>and abdominal) and match activities.   | Anthropometrical measures and match activities.                   | <ol> <li>The total distance covered was<br/>associated with subscapular and<br/>abdominal skinfolds. In addition, the<br/>subscapular skinfolds were significantly<br/>related to high intensity running and<br/>sprinting.</li> </ol>   | 68,75 |
| Aquino<br>et al.<br>(2018) | 25 (U-15, n = 13,<br>U-17, n = 12)<br>from a Brazilian<br>club. | Cross-Sectional<br>study. | 10 and 30 m maximum Speed, Agility<br>(Zig-Zag test), RAST, YYIR1, and match<br>performance (total distance covered<br>(TD), maximal velocity (Vmax), the<br>percentage of distances covered at<br>eight velocity bands. | Functional capacities performances and match running performance. | The Zig-Zag test was negatively<br>correlated with V <sub>max</sub> . The minimum<br>power (MP), average power (AP), and<br>total distance covered in the YYIR1 were<br>positively correlated with speed range V4<br>(medium intensity running).   | 75,00 |

Note: APHV = Age at Peak Height Velocity with Maturity Offset (Mirwald et al., 2002), PHV = Peak Height Velocity, CMJ = Countermovement Jump, SJ = Squat Jump, SBJ = standing broad jump, SA = skeletal age, CA = Chronological age, FMS = Functional Movement Screen, DTK = declarative tactical knowledge, RAST = Running-based anaerobic sprint test, YYIR1 = Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery level 1, YYIE1 = Yo-Yo Intermittent Endurance level 1, HG = handgrip test, FUT-SAT = System of Tactical Assessment in Soccer (Teoldo et al., 2011), IPT = tactical performance indexes.

# Small-sided and conditioned games

More than 30% of the studies that were part of this systematic review used the Small-Sided and Conditioned Games (SSCG) in their approach, with different purposes, but especially to analyze the tactical behavior from the youth soccer players (Table 6). Modify pitch size, the number of players, rules, time, or the purpose of the SSCG are examples of tasks applied to verify the tactical behavior adaptation, the player's activities, and the variation of the internal variables of the players. The System of Tactical Assessment in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo, Garganta (26)) was one of the most used methods to analyze the SSCG. Table 6. Small Sided and Conditioned Games in studies with young soccer players: authors, sample, study design, task conditions, procedures, main variables, results and quality score.

| Study                                 | Sample  | Study<br>design               | Task conditions   | Procedure  | Main variables  | Results  | Quality<br>score (%) |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|----------------------|
| Clemente<br>et al.<br>(2015)          | 10 (U-14)<br>from<br>Portuguese<br>regional<br>league.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | Task 1: maximize the ball<br>possession time and there<br>was no goal, Task 2: players<br>was asked to cross the end<br>line of opponents with the<br>possession of the ball and<br>there was no goal, and Task<br>3: a small goal (2m of width<br>and 1m of height) was<br>placed on the team's end<br>line and the aim was to<br>score. | All SSCG had the same duration<br>(and same number of players<br>and different task conditions),<br>which was lasted for 3 minutes<br>and 3 minutes of recovery. The<br>SSCG with 1v1+1 were played<br>with field dimensions of<br>20x15m, whereas the 2v2+1<br>SSCG were played on 28x18m<br>field. In all SSCG the neutral<br>player (+1) only provided<br>coverage to the team with ball<br>possession. | Task conditions,<br>Heart rate, distances<br>covered, speed and<br>acceleration.  | <ol> <li>Format had significant main<br/>effects and moderate effect size on<br/>heart rate responses and time-<br/>motion profiles; 2) The task<br/>conditions had a significant main<br/>effects and a small effect size on<br/>heart rate responses and time-<br/>motion profiles; 3) Significant<br/>differences were found in between<br/>the three task conditions for %HR<sub>res</sub>,<br/>distance coverage, speed, and<br/>acceleration.</li> </ol> | 81,25                |
| Falces-<br>Prieto et al.<br>(2015)    | 27 (U-18).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | Players played with the<br>presence (PHC) or absence<br>(AHC) of the head coach   | Pitch size: 40 x 30 m with 2<br>official portable goals, 6 min of<br>duration, Internal load: values<br>of HR and RPE with the CR-10<br>modified, Two teams (5 vs 5 +<br>GK), and the matches were<br>recorded and technical actions<br>were analyzed.   | Presence of the Head<br>Coach (PHC), Absence<br>of the Head Coach<br>(AHC), Heart rate<br>(HR), Rate of<br>Perceived Effort (RPE)<br>and Technical actions. | 1) HR <sub>max</sub> , HR <sub>mean</sub> and RPE were<br>substantially greater during the<br>SSCG-PHC (presence of the head<br>coach). During the SSCG-PHC there<br>was a substantial increase in the<br>percentage of time spent by players<br>at very high-intensity of exercise ><br>90% HR <sub>max</sub> .   | 87,50                |
| González-<br>Rodenas et<br>al. (2015) | 20 (U-14)<br>from an<br>academy<br>team from a<br>first division<br>professional<br>club in<br>Spain. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 3 SSCGs: 1) possession play<br>(PP) (maintain ball<br>possession), 2) small goals<br>(SG) (score a goal, and try<br>not to concede one, using<br>small goals) and 3) regular<br>goals (RG) (score a goal,<br>and try not to concede one,<br>using regular goals and<br>goalkeepers).  | Each SSCG with 4 min duration<br>with 2 min of passive recovery.<br>The three SSCG formats were<br>performed by both 4-a-side and<br>6-a-side teams.   | SSCG format, type of<br>the SSCG goal,<br>number of players,<br>heart rate.   | <ol> <li>1) PP in 4-a-side format registered<br/>higher intensity of play than PP in 6-<br/>a-side format, 2) SG higher intensity<br/>of play than RG for 4-a-side, 3) PP in<br/>4-a-side format obtained higher<br/>intensity of play than RG for 4-a-side,<br/>4) RG in 4-a-side games registered<br/>the highest SD compared with the<br/>same format in 6-a-side games.</li> </ol>   | 87,50                |

| Koklu et al.<br>(2015)        | 12 (U-16).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.   | 3-a-side SSCGs consisting of<br>4 bouts (18 x 30 m pitch),<br>each bout last for 4 minutes<br>with different time to<br>recover between the bouts<br>(1 min, 2 min, 3 min and 4<br>min), were performed (the<br>main goal was to maintain<br>the possession of the ball).  | Height and body mass, YYIR,<br>Time motion analysis<br>monitored with GPSports <sup>®</sup> , SPI<br>ProX, Technical actions.   | Recovery period,<br>SSCG format, heart<br>rate, RPE, blood<br>lactate concentration,<br>number of technical<br>actions and the<br>various time-motion<br>characteristics. | 1) SSCGs under R1 conditions<br>induced higher %HR <sub>max</sub> and %HR <sub>reserve</sub><br>than both R3 and R4 conditions, 2)<br>players covered greater distances by<br>WLK in the R1 than those in R2, R3<br>and R4, 3) in R3 condition players<br>covered greater distances by HIR<br>than in R1.  | 75,00 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|-------|
| Koklu et al.<br>(2015b)       | 16 (U-18).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.   | 2-a-side (4 bouts of 2 min<br>separated by 2 min of<br>passive recovery, pitch: 15<br>x 27 m), 3-a-side (4 bouts of<br>3 min separated by 2 min of<br>passive recovery, pitch: 20<br>x 30 m), and 4-a-side (4<br>bouts of 4 min separated by<br>2 min of passive recovery,<br>pitch: 25 x 32 m). SSCG with<br>(2 goals and 2 GK) and SSCG<br>without games consisting of<br>4 bouts. | Height, body mass, YYIR, SSCGs,<br>Time motion analysis (15Hz<br>GPS).  | SSCG format,<br>%HRmax, RPE, La-,<br>and distances<br>covered at different<br>speeds.   | 1) Significant differences between<br>SSCG <sub>with</sub> and SSCG <sub>without</sub> in terms of<br>%HR <sub>max</sub> , 2) 2-, 3-, and 4-a-side<br>SSCG <sub>without</sub> showed significantly<br>higher %HR <sub>max</sub> responses than 2-, 3-,<br>and 4-a-side SSCG <sub>with</sub> , 3) In 2-, 3-, and<br>4-a-side SSCG <sub>without</sub> , the players<br>covered significantly greater<br>distances in LIR than in 2-a-side, 4) in<br>2-, 3-, and 4-a-side SSCG <sub>without</sub> , the<br>players covered greater distances in<br>total distance than in 2-, 3-, and 4-a-<br>side. | 87,50 |
| Los Arcos<br>et al.<br>(2015) | 15 (U-16)<br>from a<br>Spanish<br>First division<br>club. | Parallel<br>two-group<br>experime<br>ntal (5<br>weeks): IT<br>and SSCG. | SSCG: 3 x 4 min by 3 min of<br>rest. Scores were<br>considered valid only if<br>made with the first touch,<br>and in all SSCGs the relative<br>pitch size was 85 m2, coach<br>encouragement, without<br>the offside rule.  | Players were randomly<br>assigned to the SSCG or the<br>Interval Training groups. SSCG<br>and IT sessions were done<br>twice a week over 6 weeks.<br>Tests: Université de Montréal<br>Tract Test (UM-TT; VO2máx),<br>CMJ, Training load<br>quantification: HR and RPE,<br>Physical Activity Enjoyment<br>Scale (PACES), | Training type, aerobic<br>fitness and physical<br>enjoyment.  | Players in the SSCG group<br>accumulated a likely greater training<br>time at high intensity (> 90% of<br>HR <sub>max</sub> ) than in the IT group, but likely<br>moderate lower training time at<br>medium intensity (80-90% of HR <sub>max</sub> ),<br>and small lower practice time at low<br>intensity (< 80% of HR <sub>max</sub> ).  | 93,75 |

| Olthof et al.<br>(2015) | 39 (U-17, n<br>= 23; U-19,<br>n = 16) from<br>the highest<br>level in the<br>Netherlands | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 6 SSCG (In total, twenty-<br>four SSCG), 4-a-side, pitch:<br>40 x 30 m, during 6min,<br>without offside rule.   | Positional data of each player<br>were collected using the local<br>position measurement (LPM)<br>system.   | Age category and tactical behavior.  | Centroid positions of the opposing<br>teams in both age categories showed<br>high correlations in longitudinal<br>direction. Team centroids were<br>moving simultaneously in the same<br>direction for more than 70% of the<br>time during the SSCGs.  | 75,00 |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|-------|
| Praça et al.<br>(2015)  | 18 (U-18)<br>from a<br>Brazilian<br>club.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 36 SSCGs: 12 in numerical<br>equality (3vs.3), 12 with<br>supporting players around<br>the field (3vs.3+2), and 12<br>with an additional player<br>inside the field (4vs.3), 4<br>min and 4 min interval<br>between bouts, pitch: 36 x<br>27 m. | Familiarization with<br>equipaments and rules in the<br>week 1, in weeks 2 to 4, the<br>players participated in SSCGs.<br>Time motion analysis was<br>recorded with GPS, 15Hz.  | SSCG format,<br>distances covered,<br>acceleration.  | <ol> <li>Significant differences for total<br/>distance between the three<br/>configurations, 2) the 3vs.3+2 game<br/>exhibited a smaller number of<br/>acceleration actions and a lower<br/>percentage of distance covered than<br/>the 3vs.3 configuration.</li> </ol>   | 68,75 |
| Praça et al.<br>(2015b) | 24 (U-15 to<br>U-16) from<br>a Brazilian<br>club.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 6 SSCGs, 4 min each, with a<br>configuration of "GK+3 vs<br>3+GK", pitch: 36 x 27 m.  | Tactical behavior (FUT-SAT,<br>Teoldo et al. 2011), Technical<br>skills: General Soccer Ability<br>Skill Test Battery proposed by<br>Mor and Christian (1979)<br>(dribbling, shooting on goal and<br>passing, in this order). | Tactical Performance<br>Index (offensive and<br>defensive) and<br>technical<br>performances. | <ol> <li>The dribble variable was positively<br/>correlated with shot on goal and TPI-<br/>O, 2) A significant and positive<br/>correlation was observed between<br/>dribbling performance and the<br/>incidence of tactical actions of<br/>width/length with a ball with<br/>negative characteristics.</li> </ol> | 68,75 |
| Silva et al.<br>(2015)  | 24 (U-15)<br>from<br>Portugal.   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | In each treatment (7-, 8-<br>and 9-a-side) there were 3<br>SSCGs, 6 min duration, for<br>two weeks, constant area<br>of 57.3 x 37.1 m yielded   | Time motion analysis recorded with GPS, 15Hz.   | Player numbers, field<br>dimensions and inter-<br>individual<br>coordination.                | <ol> <li>Differences can be observed for all<br/>variables and relative spaces per<br/>player treatments.</li> </ol>   | 87,50 |

| Almeida et<br>al. (2016) | 16 (U-13, n<br>= 8; U-15, n<br>= 8) from<br>Portugal.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCG: 4-a-side without GK<br>was the basis of the<br>experimental task: Control<br>condition: The central goal<br>scoring mode, Experimental<br>condition 1: line goal,<br>scoring by dribbling past an<br>extended line,<br>Experimental condition 2:<br>double goal, scoring in<br>either of two lateral goals.<br>Pitch: 30 x 20 m. | Protocol consisted of six<br>independent sessions for 2<br>weeks. In each session,<br>participants performed the<br>three SSCGs during 10 min<br>periods<br>interspersed with 5 min of<br>passive recovery. | Ball-recovery type,<br>ball-recovery sector,<br>configuration of play<br>and defence state.   | <ol> <li>Irrespective of scoring mode and<br/>age group, the set play was the most<br/>frequent type of ball recovery<br/>(47.4%), predominantly regained in<br/>the defensive sector (45.5%), 2) The<br/>variables scoring mode and age<br/>group significantly predicted whether<br/>youth teams regained possession<br/>through interception or set play.</li> </ol>   | 75,00 |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-------|
| Américo et<br>al. (2016) | 400 (U-11: n<br>= 100; U-13:<br>n = 100; U-<br>15: n = 100;<br>U-17: n =<br>100) from<br>Brazil. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs, 4 min each, with a<br>configuration of "GK+3 vs<br>3+GK", pitch: 36 x 27 m.   | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011).  | Tactical behavior<br>efficiency.  | <ol> <li>players from the U-17 and U-13<br/>age levels were more efficient when<br/>performing offensive and defensive<br/>tactical principles, 2) Offensive<br/>principles performed more efficiently<br/>were Offensive Coverage and Width<br/>and Length, 3) defensive principle<br/>more efficiently performed was the<br/>Concentration and the principles of<br/>Defensive Coverage and Delay<br/>displayed the lowest values of<br/>performance efficiency.</li> </ol> | 68,75 |
| Andrade et<br>al. (2016) | 100 (U-15)<br>from Brazil.   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs, 4 min each, with a<br>configuration of "GK+3 vs<br>3+GK", pitch: 36 x 27 m.   | Continuous Performance Test-II<br>(CPT-II by Conners et al. (2003))<br>and System of Tactical<br>Assessment in Soccer (FUT-SAT,<br>Teoldo et al. 2011).   | CPT measures<br>(Omission Errors and<br>Commission Errors)<br>and the Tactical<br>Performance Indexes<br>(Offensive, Defensive,<br>and Game). | Positive correlation was observed<br>between CPT Commission and GTPI<br>(rho = 0.226; p = 0.018).   | 68,75 |
| Asci et al.<br>(2016)    | 22 (U-18<br>and U-16)<br>from<br>Turkey.   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs: 3-, 4-, 5-, 7- and 9-a-<br>side, all including the GK in<br>different dimensions of<br>pitch and, one official<br>match per week.   | Height, weight, 7 skinfolds,<br>running test, physiological<br>parameters: heart rate, blood<br>lactate.  | Game format, HR,<br>time spent in HR<br>zones.  | <ol> <li>Lowest HR and %HR<sub>máx</sub> responses<br/>were found in 9-a-side game, while<br/>the 3-a-side game resulted in the<br/>highest HR and %HR<sub>máx</sub> responses.</li> </ol>  | 75,00 |

| Badin et al.<br>(2016)          | 20 (U-19)<br>from an<br>Australian<br>National<br>Premier<br>League club. | Counterba<br>lanced<br>crossover<br>design<br>(mental<br>fatigue<br>and<br>control<br>condition) | SSCG: 5-a-side without GK,<br>during 15 min, on 2<br>occasions separated by 1<br>week.   | Borg's CR10 RPE scales, YYIR1,<br>Visual Analog Scales (VASs):<br>mental fatigue, mental effort,<br>physical fatigue, and<br>motivation, and performed 10<br>minutes of the Stroop task and<br>Technical tests. Time motion<br>analysis using a 15Hz GPS. | Mental fatigue,<br>technical<br>performance and<br>physical performance.  | 1) HR was possibly higher in the<br>control treatment than the fatigue<br>condition, 2) Trivial differences<br>existed for accelerations, whereas<br>repeated sprints showed a likely<br>positive effect. In contrast, mental<br>fatigue impaired performance in<br>most offensive and defensive<br>technical variables.                                  | 81,25 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|-------|
| Barnabé et<br>al. (2016)        | 36 (U-16, n<br>= 12; U-17,<br>n = 12; U-<br>19, n = 12).                  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.  | 6-a-side games, eight<br>minutes on a 33 m x 60 m,<br>official rules except offside.   | Height, body mass, SSCG, Time<br>motion analysis using a 15Hz<br>GPS.   | Surface area, Stretch<br>index, Team's length<br>and width, and Age-<br>related effects in<br>attacking phases. | <ol> <li>Differences in team width were<br/>observed between the U-16 and U-<br/>17, and in surface area (U-16 and U-<br/>17, and U-16 and U-19), 2)</li> <li>Differences in the stretch index<br/>values were registered (U-16 and<br/>U19), in defensive phases (U-17 and<br/>U-19) for team length, (U-17 and U-<br/>19) for stretch index.</li> </ol> | 68,75 |
| Christopher<br>et al.<br>(2016) | 12 (U-16)<br>from<br>Chelsea FC.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.  | 2 tasks in 6-a-side SSCGs (8<br>min each): 1) 8 min<br>continuous, 2 blocks of 4<br>min by 1 min rest, 2) four<br>blocks of 2 min by 45-60 s<br>rest. Pitch: 50 x 32 m,<br>without offside rule. | CR10 RPE, YYIR2 (HR), Technical<br>analysis, Time motion analysis<br>using a 15Hz GPS.  | Game format,<br>physical and technical<br>performances.   | 1) More goals were scored in both<br>the 4 x 2 min game, and the 2 x 4<br>minute game, as opposed to the 8<br>min continuous game, seemingly due<br>to the greater number of shots taken.   | 75,00 |
| Koklu et al.<br>(2016)          | 14 (U-18).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.  | 2-a-side (2 min, 12 x 24m),<br>3-a-side (3 min, 18 x 30 m)<br>and 4-a-side (4 min, 24 x 36<br>m).  | Height, body mass, skinfold<br>thickness, circumference<br>measurements, YYIR1, SSCGs,<br>CR10 RPE and HR monitored<br>during the SSCGs and blood<br>sampling 3 min after each<br>SSCG.   | SSCG format,<br>%HRmáx, BLA and<br>RPE scores.  | The lowest %HR <sub>máx</sub> responses (2-a-<br>side), the highest (3-a-side).   | 81,25 |

| Moreira et<br>al. (2016)         | 60 (U-14 to<br>U-15) from<br>a Brazilian<br>club. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 5-a-side + GK using 2<br>repetitions of 8 min by 3<br>min of passive recovery, in<br>a 45 x 60 pitch size.   | Technical performance,<br>Physical performance, Time<br>motion analysis using a 15Hz<br>GPS.   | Time of game,<br>physical performance<br>and technical<br>performance.   | <ol> <li>Significant changes in TDC,<br/>accelerations, decelerations, number<br/>of sprints, and MP, body load,<br/>impacts, 2) TDC was found to<br/>decrease from the 1st quarter to all<br/>subsequent quarters of the SSCG.</li> </ol>  | 87,50 |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|-------|
| Praça et al.<br>(2016)           | 18 (U-18)<br>from a<br>brazilian<br>club.         | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | FUT-SAT applied in two<br>different configurations:<br>"GK+3vs.3+GK" and<br>"GK+3vs.3+GK plus<br>offensive joker".   | Teams formed according their<br>PTK (G1 = high score in PTKT<br>and G2 = low score in PTKT).<br>Teams from G1 and G2 did not<br>played against each other,<br>SSCGs. | Group (High and low<br>level in PTKT), Game<br>format, Tactical<br>behavior.   | <ol> <li>the group with greater tactical<br/>knowledge (G1) showed higher<br/>incidence of width and length<br/>(without the ball) actions in the 4 x 3<br/>SSCG than in the 3 x 3 SSCG, 2) the 4<br/>x 3 setting allowed for higher<br/>frequency of offensive unity tactical<br/>actions than the 3 x 3 SSCG, 3)<br/>significant differences as to defensive<br/>coverage tactical principles, recovery<br/>balance and defensive unity.</li> </ol> | 75,00 |
| Sannicandr<br>o et al.<br>(2016) | 12 (U-13).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2 tasks: 1) 8-a-side (62 x 44<br>m) 25 min by 5 min of<br>passive recovery, 2) 3-a-<br>side (16 x 8 m) with (4<br>bouts, 3 min, 2 min passive<br>recovery).  | Anthropometrics, Maximal<br>incremental aerobic test (Leger<br>test), SSCGs. In both SSCGs<br>format HR was monitored.   | SSCGs format,<br>HRmean, HRmáx and<br>VO2máx.  | HR <sub>mean</sub> , HR <sub>máx</sub> were lower in 8-a-side<br>than in 3-a-side format.   | 87,50 |
| Arslan et al.<br>(2017)          | 16 (U-17).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 3 tasks: 2-, 3-, and 4-a-side<br>(4 bouts without GK,<br>purpose to maintain the<br>possession of the ball.<br>Encouragement was<br>provide for the coaches. | Height and weight, YYIR1,<br>SSCGs HR was recorded using a<br>15Hz GPS; 4) RPE and lactate<br>responses in the SSCGs.  | SSCGs format (2-, 3-,<br>and 4-a-side), SSCGs<br>active and passive<br>rest, HR, %HRmax,<br>level of BLA, RPE and<br>time-motion<br>characteristics. | There were significant differences<br>between SSCGar and SSCGpr in terms<br>of BLA and RPE responses in all SSCG<br>formats.  | 75,00 |

| Barbero-<br>Alvarez et<br>al. (2017) | 22 (U-12: n<br>= 11; U-14:<br>n = 11) from<br>a national<br>Spanish<br>academy.         | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2 training matches (7-a-<br>side) per age groups, a<br>regular pitch size, artificial-<br>grass, 2 x 25 minutes by 10<br>min of passive rest.  | Time motion analysis using a<br>1Hz GPS.  | Total distance<br>covered, peak speed,<br>HR, and individual<br>efficiency index<br>(Effindex).                      | <ol> <li>TDC at SPR and HIA, also the<br/>number of HIR (U-14 &gt; U-12), 2) The<br/>players covered more distance during<br/>the first half compared with the<br/>second. The distance at HIA<br/>decreased significantly during the<br/>second half.</li> </ol> | 75,00 |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|-------|
| Beenham<br>et al.<br>(2017)          | 40 (U-18).  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2-a-side (4 x 2min, 3 min of<br>rest, 20 x 15m), n = 10, 3-a-<br>side (4 x 3 min, 3 min of<br>rest, 25 x 18m), n = 7, 4-a-<br>side (4 x 4 min, 3 min of<br>rest, 30 x 20m), n = 5,<br>SSCGs rules: possesion of<br>the ball, limited to 2<br>touches per player.   | Players were also analyzed<br>during six home English College<br>friendly fixtures, Time motion<br>analysis using a 5 Hz GPS<br>(Catapult).   | Tri-axial activity, SSCG<br>format, match play<br>workload.  | PL <sub>acc·min-1</sub> was significantly higher in<br>each SSCG format compared to MP<br>(SSCG2: 15.00 ± 3.53; SSCG3: 14.68 ±<br>3.27; SSCG4: 13.47 ± 3.35 vs MP:<br>10.18 ± 2.12 AU).   | 75,00 |
| Borges et<br>al. (2017)              | 48 (U-13, n<br>= 12; U-15,<br>n = 15; U-<br>17, n = 21)<br>from a<br>Brazilian<br>club. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs, 4 min each, with a configuration of "GK+3 vs 3+GK", pitch: 36 x 27 m.   | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011).  | Performance of<br>offensive and<br>defensive core<br>tactical principles.  | Most offensive and defensive tactical<br>principle, respectively performed:<br>width and length and the defensive<br>unit.  | 87,50 |
| Brandes et<br>al. (2017)             | 14 (U-19)<br>from a<br>Germany<br>club.   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2 tasks: 1) strongly pushed<br>coach encouragement<br>(SSCG-P) and 2) only mild,<br>unobtrusive feedback<br>(SSCG-M). Both SSCG:<br>unlimited touches of the<br>ball, regular goals (7.32 x<br>2.44 m), without offside,<br>artificial grass, pitch 40 x 40<br>m. 3 x 4 min by 2 min of<br>passive rest. | Blood sample during SSCG, HR,<br>Time motion analysis using a<br>5Hz GPS, Game performance:<br>Team Sports Assessment<br>Procedure described in detail<br>by Gréhaigne et al. (2005). | SSCGs format, Game<br>performance<br>measures, time<br>motion analysis<br>variables,<br>Physiological<br>parameters. | 1) The mean HR did not change<br>significantly between SSCG-M and<br>SSCG-P, the overall distance traveled<br>was possibly shorter in SSCG-M than<br>in SSCG-P, 2) Players were likely to<br>perform more sprints during SSCG-P<br>than during SSCG-M.            | 93,75 |

| Coutinho et<br>al. (2017)  | 12 (U-17).                               | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | Prior to the SSCG, one<br>team: motor coordination<br>task to induce mental<br>fatigue, other team: control<br>task. 4 tasks: (a) with MF<br>against opponents without<br>MF in a normal pitch, (b)<br>with MF on a pitch with<br>additional reference lines,<br>(c) without MF against MF<br>opponents on a normal<br>pitch, (d) without MF on a<br>pitch with reference lines.<br>SSCGs: 4 x 6-a-side plus GK<br>(62 x 43 m pitch, 24 min: 3<br>x 6 min bouts by 3 min<br>passive recovery). | CMJ, Mental fatigue: Visual<br>Analog Scale (VAS) immediately<br>prior to, and following each<br>treatment condition, and again<br>in the end of the SSCG, Time<br>motion analysis using 15Hz<br>GPS. | SSCG format, mental<br>fatigue, positional<br>variables, physical<br>performances,<br>tactical performances<br>and RPE responses. | 1) Overall, higher values were found<br>in the perception of mental fatigue<br>following the mental task as<br>compared with the control task.                           | 81,25 |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|-------|
| Halouani et<br>al. (2017)  | 18 (U-15)<br>from an<br>amateur<br>club. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2 tasks: Stop-Ball SSCG (SB-<br>SSCG) and Small-goal SSCG<br>(SG-SSCG), 3 conditions: 2-,<br>3- and 4-a-side, pitch 20 x<br>25 m, 4 x 4 min by 2 min of<br>passive recovery, 6 training<br>sessions: SB-SSCG and SG-<br>SSCG for 3 forms of players'<br>number.  | CR10 RPE, HR and BLA.   | Game rules, players'<br>number, RPE, HR and<br>blood lactate.   | 1) HR values: SB-SSCG > SG-SSCG in<br>all conditions, 2) %Hrmax: SB-SSCG ><br>SG-SSCG in the 3-a-side as compared<br>to 2-a-side and 4-a-side.                           | 75,00 |
| Halouani et<br>al. (2017a) | 16 (U-14).                               | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2 tasks: Stop-Ball SSCG (SB-<br>SSCG) and Small-goal SSCG<br>(SG-SSCG), 4-a-side, 3<br>conditions: small pitch<br>sizes: 10 x 15 m, medium:<br>15 x 20 m, and large: 20 x<br>25 m, 4 x 4 min by 2 min of<br>passive recovery.  | SSCGs, Self-administered MS<br>with Tanner (1962), HR, CR10<br>RPE and BLA.   | Game rules, maturity<br>status, pitch<br>dimensions, HR, RPE<br>and blood lactate.  | HR: SB-SSCG > SG-SSCG, with higher<br>inter-subject coefficients of variation<br>(CV) during SG-SSCG in the small and<br>the medium size compared to the<br>large pitch. | 81,25 |

| Köklü et al.<br>(2017)   | 15 (U-18)<br>from a<br>Turkey<br>team.   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 12 SSCG training sessions<br>(2-a-side, 3-a-side, and 4-a-<br>side), continuous (1 x 12<br>min) or interval (6 x 2 min,<br>3 x 4 min, and 2 x 6 min).  | SSCGs, Height, body mass,<br>YYIR1, Time motion analysis<br>using a 15Hz GPS.  | Bout duration, HR,<br>blood lactate, RPE<br>and time motion<br>characteristics.  | Differences were found between<br>bout durations in terms of distance<br>covered in the walking speed zone,<br>low-intensity running speed zone,<br>moderate-intensity running speed<br>zone, total distances covered,<br>maximum speed reached and HR.  | 87,50 |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------|
| Martone et<br>al. (2017) | 33 (U-12: n<br>= 17; U-14:<br>n = 16) from<br>the Società<br>Sportiva<br>Dilettantisti<br>ca Europa<br>(Naples). | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs: 18min (Interval<br>Training = 3 x 4 min by 3<br>min of active recovery). 2<br>pitch sizes (20 x 20 m and<br>30 x 30 m), 3 conditions: 3-,<br>4- and 5-a-side were played<br>by U-12 and U-14 group<br>was established randomly. | Physiological measures (HR and %HR <sub>max</sub> ), Technical evaluation.   | %HR <sub>max</sub> , Technical<br>measures, pitch<br>dimension, game<br>format.  | 1) For 112.5 and 150m <sup>2</sup> (EI): U-12 ><br>U-14 group. Also U-12 group's EI for<br>A <sub>P</sub> 150 > A <sub>P</sub> 40 > A <sub>P</sub> 50 > A <sub>P</sub> 90.   | 87,50 |
| Moreira et<br>al. (2017) | 40 (U-15)<br>from a<br>Brazilian<br>club.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs: 5-a-side plus GK, 2 x<br>8 min by 3 min of passive<br>rest, pitch 46 x 60 m, were<br>filmed and individual<br>technical performance<br>annotated for further<br>analysis.   | Saliva samples (testosterone<br>assessments), height and<br>weight, MS with Tanner (1962),<br>SJ, CMJ, YYIR1 and Technical<br>performance. | Hormonal status,<br>sexual maturity level,<br>anthropometric<br>profile, and physical<br>performance and<br>SSCG technical<br>performance. | 1) Principal component analyzes<br>identified four technical actions of<br>importance: total number of passes,<br>effectiveness, goal attempts, and<br>total tackles.  | 81,25 |
| Padilha et<br>al. (2017) | 168 (U-17)<br>from<br>Brazilian<br>clubs.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | 2 tasks: 1) Gk+3 vs. 3+Gk<br>and 2) Gk+3 vs. 3+Gk+2<br>floaters side lines, 4 min,<br>pitch 36 x 27 m.   | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011).   | Game format, core tactical principles of soccer.   | <ol> <li>3-a-side plus GK: higher frequency<br/>of concentration and penetration, 2)<br/>3-a-side plus GK +2 FL: higher<br/>effective of space (width and length)<br/>in the opponent's half and defensive<br/>unity.</li> </ol>   | 81,25 |
| Praça et al.<br>(2017)   | 18 (U-17)<br>from a<br>Brazilian<br>club.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study. | SSCGs: 1) 3-a-side, 2) 3-a-<br>side + 2 FL side lines, 3) 4 vs<br>3, the purpose was to score<br>as many goals as possible in<br>a small goal (5 m x 2 m), 4<br>min.   | Procedural Tactical Knowledge<br>Test (PTKT, Greco et al. 2014)<br>and Network analysis was<br>carried out.                                | SSCG, network<br>variables, playing<br>position.   | <ol> <li>Density and total links: 4 vs. 3 &gt; 3<br/>vs. 3 and 3 vs. 3 + 2 task conditions,</li> <li>the network properties in the<br/>analysis with and without the<br/>additional floater player revealed<br/>higher values of total links in the<br/>condition with the floater.</li> </ol> | 81,25 |

| _ | Praça et al.<br>(2017a)           | 30 (U-14, n<br>= 14; U-15,<br>n = 16) from<br>a Brazilian<br>club.   | Mixed-<br>Longitudi<br>nal study. | Monthly, over 10 months: 4<br>min, "GK3 vs. 3GK", 36 x 27<br>m, 6 x 2 goalpost, with all<br>the rules of formal games.   | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011).                           | Category, month and<br>core tactical<br>principles of soccer.   | Incidence of offensive coverage<br>actions (U-15 > U-14 players), 2) U-14<br>players performed more defensive<br>coverage actions at the beginning of<br>the year, 3) U-15 players performed<br>more defensive coverage actions in<br>the last two months. | 87,50 |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|-------|
|   | Praça et al.<br>(2017b)           | 18 (U-17)<br>from a<br>Brazilian<br>club.  | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | 36 SSCGs: Gk+3 vs. 3+Gk,<br>each one: 4 min, pitch 36 x<br>27 m with all the rules of<br>formal games.                   | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011), YYIR2 and 20 m<br>sprint. | Tactical behavior,<br>aerobic power and<br>speed performance.   | SSCG played by teams balanced for<br>the tactical knowledge criterion it<br>was observed a higher defensive<br>tactical performance compared to<br>the other two criteria.   | 68,75 |
| _ | Rechencho<br>sky et al.<br>(2017) | 54 (U-17)<br>from<br>anuniversity<br>social<br>project in<br>Brazil<br>(regional<br>competitive<br>level). | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | SSCGs: Gk+3 vs. 3+Gk, each<br>one: 4 min, pitch 36 x 27 m<br>with all the rules of formal<br>games, except offside rule. | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011).                           | Core tactical<br>principles of soccer<br>(Offensive and<br>Defensive).  | Concentration was performed more<br>efficiently than other principles.<br>Midfielders and forwards executed<br>offensive unity more efficiently than<br>defenders. Defenders present high<br>defensive coverage efficiency than<br>midfielders.            | 81,25 |
| - | Reis et al.<br>(2017)             | 152 (U-13, n<br>= 50; U-15,<br>n = 62; U-<br>17, n = 40)<br>from a<br>Brazilian<br>club.                   | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.     | SSCGs: Gk+3 vs. 3+Gk, each<br>one: 4 min, pitch 36 x 27 m<br>with all the rules of formal<br>games, except offside rule. | System of Tactical Assessment<br>in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo et<br>al. 2011).                           | Offensive tactical<br>performance index<br>(OTPI), defensive<br>tactical performance<br>index (DTPI) and<br>Efficiency of Core<br>Tactical Principles of<br>soccer. | <ol> <li>Highest performance index in<br/>offensive phase = Depth Mobility, 2)<br/>highest frequency in the defensive<br/>phase = Defensive coverage.</li> </ol>   | 68,75 |

| Rowat et<br>al. (2017)               | 25 (U-19)<br>from an<br>academy in<br>Singapore. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.  | SSCGs: 5-a-side, 4 x 5 min<br>by 3 min of passive<br>recovery.   | CA, MS with Pubertal<br>Development Scale (PDS) by<br>Petersen et al. (1998), height,<br>weight, and BMI, YYIR1, RSA, 15<br>m sprint, four specific skill<br>tests, the technical evaluation<br>of players in SSCG's. | Technical skills<br>performance, Physical<br>performance,<br>Maturation status.            | When the technical evaluation of<br>players in a match situation were<br>correlated with maturity status there<br>was a moderate relationship<br>observed that approached<br>significance.  | 87,50 |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------|
| Sanchez-<br>Sanchez et<br>al. (2017) | 22 (U-19).                                       | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.  | 6 conditions: 1) 4vs4-NO, 2)<br>4vs4+2IW-NO, 3)<br>4vs4+2IW+2IEW-NO, 4)<br>4vs4-GK, 5) 4vs4+2IW-GK<br>and 6) 4vs4+2IW+2IEWGK,<br>4 bouts x 4 min by 2 min of<br>passive recovery, 30 x 40 m<br>pitch; NO = without GK, IW<br>and IEW = internal and<br>external wildcard players,<br>respectively. | YYIR1, CR10 RPE, HR monitors,<br>and SSCGs. and technical-<br>tactical actions (pass, dribbling,<br>collective success, and game<br>pause).   | SSCG format, SSCG<br>purpose, HR,<br>Perceived Exertion,<br>Technical-Tactical<br>Actions. | No differences were observed in<br>%HRmax between 4 vs 4-NO, 4 vs 4 +<br>2IW-NO, 4 vs 4 + 2IW + 2IEW-NO, 4<br>vs 4-GK, 4 vs 4 + 2IW-GK, and 4 vs<br>4+2IW+2- IEW-GK. During 4 vs 4 +<br>2IW + 2IEW-NO, time spent in Z1 was<br>greater compared with 4 vs 4-NO and<br>4 vs 4 + 2IW-NO.  | 81,25 |
| Coutinho et<br>al. (2018)            | 10 (U-15)<br>from<br>Portugal.                   | Quasi-<br>experime<br>ntal study<br>(EXP<br>Condition:<br>both<br>teams<br>induced<br>MMF and<br>MF at the<br>same<br>time,<br>CONtrol<br>conditions<br>: players<br>were not<br>under the<br>effect of<br>any of the<br>other | SSCGs: 3 x 5-a-side plus GK,<br>pitch: 30 x 25 m, formal 7-<br>a-side goals, 24 min (3<br>bouts x 6 min by 3 min<br>rest).   | Time motion analysis using a 5<br>Hz GPS.   | Mental and muscular<br>fatigue on physical<br>activity and tactical<br>performance.        | 1) Decrease in the distance between<br>dyads and an increase on the ApEn<br>distance dyads with MMF compared<br>to the CON condition, 2) Decrease in<br>the team's stretch index with MMF<br>compared to the CON condition, 2)<br>MMF condition decreased the time<br>spent synchronized in longitudinal<br>displacements compared to the CON<br>condition. | 87,50 |

|                         |   | initial<br>conditions<br>(declared<br>their RPE),<br>MMF<br>condition:<br>was<br>induced<br>with the<br>RCOD<br>task, MF<br>condition:<br>30 min<br>Stroop<br>color-<br>word task. |   |  |  |   |       |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|-------|
| Olthof et al.<br>(2018) | 148 (U-13, n<br>= 36, U-15,<br>n = 43, U-<br>17, n = 28<br>and U-19, n<br>= 43) from<br>three Dutch<br>professional<br>academies. | Cross-<br>Sectional<br>study.  | SSCG: 4-a-side plus GK,<br>Pitches: small (40 x 30 m)<br>and large (68 x 47 m), 4 min<br>by 4 min of rest.                              | SSCGs, Time motion analysis<br>were recorded using a Local<br>Position Measurement (LPM)<br>system.  | Game characteristics,<br>physical performance,<br>team tactical<br>performance, type of<br>SSCG and age group. | <ol> <li>A significant main effect of pitch<br/>size was observed for all game<br/>characteristics, physical performance<br/>and team tactical performance, 2)</li> <li>Significant interaction effects (pitch<br/>size*age category) were found for<br/>physical and team tactical<br/>performance.</li> </ol> | 81,25 |
| Santos et al.<br>(2018) | 40 (U-13, n =<br>20, U-15, n =<br>20) from<br>Portugal.   | Randomize<br>d<br>Controlled<br>Trial<br>(Control<br>group and<br>Experiment<br>al group<br>(Differencia<br>I-Learning<br>SSCG)).  | SSCGs: 5-a-side plus Gk, 2 x 6<br>min by 3 min of passive<br>recovery, on an artificial-turf<br>pitch (36 m x 25 m), 7-a-side<br>goals. | The experimental groups<br>participated in a differential<br>learning training program for 5<br>months (40 sessions) with a volume<br>of approximately 90 min per week;<br>Test sessions were performed<br>before and after. | Creative components,<br>passes, dribbles, shots,<br>positional data.   | Differential learning facilitated the<br>development of creative components,<br>mainly concerning attempts, versatility,<br>and originality of players' actions, 2)<br>Differential-learning approach provided a<br>decrease in fails during the game in both<br>experimental groups.                           | 81,25 |

Note: BLA = Blood Lactate, GK = goalkeeper, TDC = Total distance covered, MF = mental fatigue, MMF = Muscular fatigue, SJ = Squat Jump, CMJ = Countermovement Jump, RSA = Repeated sprint ability test, CA = Chronological age, SA = Skeletal age, MS = Maturity status, CR10 RPE = Borg's CR 10 scale for Rate Perception Exertion, RCOD = repeated change of direction.

# Training session

Despite the importance of the training' control, this is the topic less researched in youth soccer players studies, regarding the analyzed period (Table 7). Probably, this is a problem of the restriction imposed by the soccer clubs considering the training session analysis, or the less interest of the researchers to understand the training process.

Table 7. Training session analysis of young soccer player's studies: authors, sample, study design, training session analysis, procedures, main variables, results and quality score.

| Study                        | Sample  | Study design                     | Training session<br>analysis   | Procedure   | Main variables   | Results   | Quality<br>score (%) |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------|
| Coutinho<br>et al.<br>(2015) | 141 (U-15, n<br>= 56; U-17, n<br>= 66; U-19, n<br>= 19) from an<br>elite<br>Portuguese<br>team. | Cross-sectional<br>study.        | During 33 TS<br>(U15: n = 12;<br>U17: n = 11; and<br>U19: n = 10). All<br>TS started with a<br>standard warm<br>up, with a<br>preliminary<br>articular and<br>muscular<br>mobilisation<br>consisting of<br>low-intensity<br>running,<br>followed by ball<br>possession and<br>stretching<br>exercises; 15 Hz | All U-15 (four TS per<br>week), U-17 (four TS per<br>week with the exception<br>of one team that<br>performed three times<br>per week) and U19 (five<br>TS per week). Weekly<br>microcycle: post-match<br>(first TS in the<br>microcycle), the middle<br>week (mean values of the<br>TSs between the first and<br>the last TS of the week)<br>and the pre-match (last<br>TS of the week). Number<br>of players per training<br>unit was 23 ± 4. | Distance covered,<br>heart rate and body<br>impact.  | U-15: Middle-week TS presented lower values in both<br>average DC and time intervals per sprint than the Post-<br>match and Pre-match; U-17: 1) Pre-match showed higher<br>values in both TI and average DC, 2) Pre-match showed<br>lower values in the number of sprints compared to the<br>Middle week; U-19: 1) Post-match presented higher<br>values in all sprint variables compared to Pre-match and<br>Middle week, 2) Significant effects were found between<br>Pre-match and Middle week, 3) Pre-match presented<br>lower values in relative DC and body impacts; General: 1)<br>Relative DC and BI showed significant differences across<br>all TSs, 2) Strong differences were found in BI between<br>both Post-match and Pre-match and Middle week and<br>Pre-match, 3) There was an interaction between HR<br>zones and moments. The results showed that the Post-<br>match spent approximately 191% more time in ≥ 90%<br>HR <sub>max</sub> than the Middle week. | 87,50                |
| Brito et al.<br>(2016)       | 13 (U-19)<br>from a first<br>league club in<br>France.  | Mixed-<br>Longitudinal<br>study. | 2,591 TS from 36<br>microcycles.<br>Instruments: RPE<br>using CR-10,<br>Category ratio<br>scale (CR-10) for<br>each TS or<br>match, Duration<br>of TS and<br>Perceived<br>fatigue.   | Season parts: 4 different<br>phases: preparation I<br>(July-August),<br>competition I (August-<br>December), preparation II<br>(January-February), and<br>competition II (January-<br>April); Number of<br>microcycles (MC)<br>comprised 5-11 training<br>sessions (TS).  | Rating of perceived<br>exertion, internal<br>training load,<br>perceived fatigue,<br>match-related<br>contextual variables<br>(result, location, and<br>opponent's level). | <ol> <li>Weekly training load: after losing or drawing &gt; after<br/>winning a match. When preparing for a home match:<br/>weekly training load &gt; an away match, 2) After playing<br/>against a top team, the average sRPE in 3 days after the<br/>match was lower than that after playing a medium level<br/>team, 3) Weekly training load scores decreased as the<br/>season progressed, 4) During preparation I, weekly<br/>training volume, average sRPE, and weekly training load<br/>were higher than during the other phases of the season.<br/>Additionally, during competition I, average sRPE was<br/>higher than during preparation II, and weekly training<br/>volume was higher than during competition II, 5) during<br/>the competition periods, higher sRPE scores were<br/>reported in the first 3 days of the microcycle compared<br/>with the last 2 training sessions of the microcycle.</li> </ol>   | 93,75                |

| 2<br>Gjaka et al.<br>(2016) K | 22 (U-15)<br>from a<br>Kosovan<br>team. | Prospective<br>cohort<br>experimental<br>study. | 12 TS from 4<br>microcycles.<br>Instruments:<br>RPE, CR-10 Borg<br>scale, Recovery<br>questionnaire. | 1) Players RPE and<br>recovery, during and<br>after (30 minutes)<br>trainings and matches<br>(CR-10 scale) during four-<br>weeks, three training<br>units per week; 2) ITL:<br>training duration<br>(minutes) multiplied by<br>training intensity (RPE<br>value); 3) recovery<br>questionnaire was | RPE, recovery and<br>ITL. | <ol> <li>Significant session-RPE differences between training<br/>units. Additionally, significant differences were found in<br/>recovery score between training units; 2) significant<br/>differences in weekly session-RPE between weeks with a<br/>different competition schedule.</li> </ol> | 75,00 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|-------|
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|-------|

Note: RPE = Rating of perceived exertion, TS = Training session, IL = Internal training load, CR-10 = CR-10 Borg's scale.

## 4. **DISCUSSION**

This systematic review purposed to synthesize the main results find out as well as to identify the most used methods to evaluate and monitoring young soccer players. For this purpose, were analyzed studies carried out with young soccer players, among Under-12 and Under-19 age categories, conducted between 2015 and 2018. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyzes (PRISMA) guidelines were followed.

#### Anthropometrics

In all reviewed studies, the height, weight, and some of the skinfolds were evaluated. It was interesting the diet/nutritional approach used in one of the studies, bringing evidence that this variable is determining the performance and, therefore, should be controlled by the club managers (27). One of the most valuable variables used in the identification, selection, and development process of soccer players is the height. Despite being genotypic, it is linked with phenotypic factors like nutrition, so it is a surprise that only one study controlled the diet.

In terms of body mass in young soccer players, this variable is linked to the aerobic capacity, is essential to the performance in the training and matches (28). It was found in a sample followed for four years, in which players with low-performance level also presented a higher level of body mass compared with players with a high level of performance (29).

A limitation in the analyzed studies is the non-control of biological maturation (27, 30, 31). Biological maturation is a variable, in terms of young soccer players, that should always be controlled because it directly affects individual capacity. On the other hand, among the studies that controlled maturation, the method proposed by Mirwald et al. (21) was the most used (29, 32, 33).

In this systematic review, it was found that the age at the peak of height velocity (APHV) in young soccer players keep following the tendency of past studies, happening around 13 to 14 years old (32,

33). Furthermore, results from the analysis between maturation and anthropometrical measures also followed the past studies' tendency. In this sense, players after PHV are taller and heavier than players before or during this somatic period (32).

## Functional capacities

Lower limb strength was the focus of the studies dedicated to analyze the functional capacities (34, 35). Almost unanimous was the use of Countermovement Jump (CMJ), Squat Jump (SJ), LongJump (LJ) or even a combination between two types of a jump (e.g., Abade et al. (35); Malone et al. (36); Cunha et al. (37)).

Some studies made training protocols to analyze the effects on functional capacities. After six weeks, with resistance exercises combined with plyometric exercises and regular soccer training, the lower limb strength was improved. This study was found a significant difference between the group that participated in the training protocol and the group that only practiced soccer (38).

Regarding the acceleration and velocity, in a general way, it was possible to observe these improvements as the player's progress about their chronological age (11), when they take part in a training period (34, 38), or when there is an increase of trunk stability (39).Brocherie et al. (34) submitted players to 5 weeks of training based in sprints of high intensity (RSA, Rampinini, Bishop (19)) and another based in power, agility, and sprints exercises. In another study, Franco-Márquez et al. (38) followed players for six weeks, applying power combined with muscular resistance training. In both studies, in the final of the training period, players were compared with players that only did regular soccer training.

It was found, analyzing the articles focused agility' soccer players, that it is related with velocity, even if it is assessed in a protocol that maximal velocity is not reached (40). Moreover, it was found that the soccer training (41) and the age (42) are related with a significant improvement in agility tests. The Yo-Yo variations (17, 18) were the most used tests to analyze aerobic capacity, as well as to determine the maximal heart rate (43, 44). To study the anaerobic capacity and the repeated sprint ability, the most used test was the Repeated Shuttle Sprint Ability test (RSSA test, Impellizzeri, Rampinini (20)). To the same purpose, some researchers (45, 46) chosen the Repeated-Sprint Ability test (RAS, Rampinini, Bishop (19)). Referred tests are similar to considering the material and human resources to apply the tests.

Functional capacities assessments with the purpose of following the sport-related performances have been giving rise to more robust experiments. It was possible to verify that, about 40% of the studies analyzed performed experimental design with intervention periods, with different types of training, purposing to identify the most effective method to improve the sport performance over time.

Besides that, only about 30% of the studies do not use a control group in the analysis during the intervention period. In a soccer context, where the result stills the most important for a considerable number of coaches, it is admirable that this kind of research is made. Finally, it supposed to stop the extra activities in the control group, in a sense to compare the other treatments with regular and specific soccer activities.

# Match analysis

Match analysis has been studied with different purposes, with simple a method, and with technological support. Global Positioning System (GPS) are more accurate and comfortable and are more and more incorporated in the day-by-day of the soccer clubs in the professional category but also the young categories. One of the analyzed studies in this systematic review was comparing the soccer players' performance in the sea level and under 3600 m of altitude influence (47). In all the cases, the GPS systems that were used had a capacity between 5 Hz and 15 Hz, increasing the

reliability of the obtained data. Technical analysis was performed by some scientists using the social network analysis and match activities for this purpose (10, 12, 48).

In one of the studies related to social networks, analyzing players from the U-14 age category, Clemente et al. (49) found a positive and moderate correlation between performance in the *Dribbling test* and the variable *Betweenness centrality*. To the authors, this correlation means that the players could improve the cooperation between teammates if their technical ability is good enough. These players could be essentials to maintain ball possession. In another study with players from the U-12 age category, Oliveira et al. (50) found that midfielder player is the offensive sequence builder, being a link between the defense and attack players. This is the expected function of a midfielder but finding this kind of behavior in the U-12 category is essential to realize how the game has developed during the years.

It was possible to verify in the studies that analyzed player's activities, that U-15 players covered longer distances than U-13 and U-14 players. Besides that, it was possible to identify a difference in the heart rate peak (PHR) between categories (PHR U-15 > PHR U-14 > PHR U-13) (51). Comparing players of high and low level, from the same club and age group (U-16), it was found that high-level players covered higher distances at high speed running (52).

Related with the player's activities, play in a natural or in artificial turf could make the difference. In a study performed by Brito et al. (48), it was found that players covered higher distances in an artificial turf than in a natural turf. Moreover, in artificial grass, players can perform more highintensity actions. Once again, midfield players covered more distances than the other position players.

Only one analyzed article assessed player's decision making (53). It was a surprise considering the importance of this variable to the player's performance during a soccer match (54-56). Considering the age range in which these studies are performed, the analysis of tactical behavior should also be

carried out, since, during the development process, learning and acquisition of this component is essential for soccer practitioners (57).

## Maturity status

Maturation is an essential variable for youth sports in general and youth soccer in particular. There are different methods to access maturation, and, in this systematic review, it was found that some methods are more usual than others and probably the preference for an applied-friendly method is overcoming the internal reliability of the instruments.

With the Maturity Offset method (21), it is possible to identify the age at the peak height velocity (PHV) and, as well, the years apart from the PHV. In most of the studies analyzed, PHV was used to sort split players into groups so that they could be compared, for example, in the functional performance variables such as speed, agility, and lower and upper limb strength.

Romann, Javet (58), in an innovative approach, analyzed the coaches' opinion about the maturational status of the players and performed two other methods, Maturity Offset by Mirwald et al. (21) and the TW3 method by Tanner et al. (25). In this study, the results showed that coaches can determine the maturational status of young soccer players without resorting to specific methods for this purpose. In another interesting and innovative study, Cumming et al. (59) divided the players by the Bio-Banding approach to be included in a competition. The players reported feeling better in this type of competition format, alleging to be less demanded on functional capacities, being this type of competition more appropriate for the development of tactical and technical aspects.

It is important to note that more than half of the studies (61.10% (n = 11)) in this session are aimed to analyze the maturation and functional abilities of the players (e.g., McCunn, Weston (60) and, Bidaurrazaga-Letona, Lekue (61)). Among these, some studies consider functional capacities and technical capacities as variables of interest (62, 63), functional capacities and tactical declarative knowledge (64) and, finally, functional capacities and the Relative Age Effect (60). One of the studies calls attention to analyze the quality of joint movement in the different maturational status of the players (65). The method developed by Cook (66) is useful for the parsimonious analysis of movement and lack of movements in the different joints. During a competitive season, players with more opportunities to participate in training sessions and matches will benefit from injury prevention (67).

As in other sessions of this systematic review, it was possible to note that authors didn't analyzed the procedural, tactical knowledge, leaving an important gap for future studies. After all, science has already demonstrated that an individual with high declarative knowledge doesn't necessarily hold a high tactical procedural knowledge (68). In a sport-related context, although players have a high declarative knowledge level since it is the know-how that can decide on the success or unsuccess of players and teams (69).

#### Multidimensional and career progression

Multidimensional studies were grouped in this section, as well as the studies that analyzed relevant aspects for the career progression of a soccer player. In this sense, it was found studies that differentiate players that were selected to progress in the youth soccer departments and the excluded ones (70). The present review also found studies that observed differences between skillful players and their peers less skillful, regarding anthropometrical aspects, maturational status, functional capacities and the practice time (71-73).

Once again, it is possible to note that most of the articles analyzed functional capacities as well as the maturational status. However, it is possible to observe that technical and tactical capacities are approached in the studies included in this section. Besides that, joint movement quality was also an analyzed variable (74). There are still few studies that carried out this analysis, probably due a lack of knowledge of the method proposed by Cook (66). It would be advantageous for all individuals involved in the process of identification, selection, and development of young soccer players, that the joint health assessment could be performed and monitored. After all, there are evident benefits in preserve the articular joint healthy, acting in the sense of injury prevention, locomotor improvement, and, consequently, the development of functional capacities as jumps, changes of direction, and speed.

Analyzing U-16 players, Forsman, Blomqvist, Davids, Liukkonen, & Konttinen (2016) concluded that the most necessary aspects related to the career progression to the professional category are the psychological and physiological aspects. In another analysis with U-17 soccer players, the practitioners that were kept in the soccer career presented higher values of height, body mass, tactical declarative knowledge, shooting accuracy, ball control, speed (30 meters), and Countermovement Jump (70).

In the study performed by Sporis et al. (72), the authors found that anthropometrical measures have an inverse relationship with the performance of functional capacities, in general. However, as in other studies, researchers highlighted the necessity to analyze different variables as technical, psychological, and decision-making related variables. Besides that, the authors consider that the practice time also should be included in the spectrum of variables analyzed in the identification, selection, and development of young soccer players.

## Small-Sided and Conditioned Games

The Small-Sided and Conditioned Games (SSCG) has been used as part of the training sessions in soccer clubs, as well as to perform scientific studies. It is a useful instrument for these purposes because of the similarity with an official match demand, and in an SSCG, there are a lot of variables that could be controlled (e.g., number of players, field size, time of the game, etc.). Among the studies analyzed in this systematic review, the method most used to analyze the SSCG was the System of Tactical Assessment in Soccer (FUT-SAT, Teoldo, Garganta (26)). This system uses a

configuration of GK+3 vs. 3+GK, in a 36 x 27 m field, during 4 minutes, being observed the core principles of soccer (75).

One of the studies that performed this evaluating system analyzed the relationship between the technical and tactical performance of players (76), and they found a positive and significant relationship between the variables. Specifically, they detected that tactical principles *Penetration/Width* and *Lengthwith ball* performances are related to the dribbling skill.

In other studies, tactical behavior was analyzed in different age categories (77-79). In all of the studies mentioned above was possible to identify a higher efficiency in the offensive phase principles than in defensive ones. Young players without the ball possession (in the defensive process) probably have more difficulties in understanding and performing the core principles of soccer.

Tactical behavior outcomes were related to the impulsivity of young Brazilian soccer players (80). Other analyses was performed to check the influence of tactical procedural knowledge and numerical advantage on the tactical behavior (81, 82). When players are in a numerical advantage situation, they perform, offensively and defensively, tactical principles with higher accuracy probably because they have more time to analyze the environment and decide and chose the best actions to do. It was also assayed the development of tactical behavior during a competitive season (83).

Other analyzed dimensions were the tactical behavior of soccer players, compared by different performance indicators (84) and the tactical behavior compared from players with different tactical functions (85). Midfielders presented a higher frequency of successful movement in the *Offensive Unity* compared to the defenders. On the other hand, defenders registered better results regarding the *Defensive Coverture*, given support to the player that is performing the *Delay*.

Without identifying a specific analysis method of the SSCG, pointed out research questions that were related with the impact of different tactical tasks (variation in the number of players, field size,

and recovering time) on the player's activities and the heart rate (HR) and mental fatigue associated to these activities (86-88).

Moreover, the difference in the HR and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) in the presence and the absence of head coaches were also analyzed. Players performed a higher number of highintensity actions under the encouragement of head coaches (89). Los Arcos et al. (90) used the SSCGs as a training method comparing it with the effects of Interval Training on the player's aerobic capacity.

It is essential to highlight the use of the technology of Global Positioning System (GPS), between 5 Hz and 15 Hz, to monitoring the SSCGs in 16 articles in this section. It is not happening in the regular and official matches of youth soccer, and probably this is a problem of regulation from the federations. Finally, both at the level of professional soccer and youth soccer, the SSCGs are a serviceable resource, driving the evaluations and the training sessions.

#### Training session

It was possible to find out that rating of perceived exertion (RPE) (91, 92), as well as activity levels of players, were analyzed recurrently (93). Training session and their content are essential to an accurate understanding of the obtained outcomes. Thus, besides to analyze the individual and collective capacity, coaches and their staff, as well as researchers, should focus higher efforts to assay the training sessions in soccer clubs.

# 5. LIMITATIONS

The main limitation of this study was the fact that decision making was not included as a study variable, considering the link between decision making and the development of soccer player's process. Also, the short temporal period analyzed in this review is treated a limitation. Finally, analyzing only manuscripts written in English is another limitation, even if the majority of scientific literature is produced in this language.

## 6. CONCLUSION

After analyzing the results and further discussion, it is possible to conclude that the young soccer players have been evaluated by different perspectives, but that the functional capacities are still a priority on the part of the researchers. It was also possible to verify that the sports sciences are using on a larger scale the technological instruments to increase the precision with which the players are evaluated.

Technological advice (e.g., GPS advice) are widely used with different purposes in all age categories. On the other hand, it was possible to verify that player's analysis is still made by notational technics. Statistical knowledge applied to the sport sciences is also a meaningful instrument to identify the most representative variables to the player's performance. Besides that, statistical support is essential to perform more sophisticated analysis like sequential analysis, social network analysis, multinomial regression, and multivariate modeling.

Among the SSCGs, it was possible to verify that the FUT-SAT has been used in scientific research, although the same is not repeated in official games. Analyzes of the tactical principles were not carried out in official games, in which the evaluations regarding the activity of the players and social network analysis prevailed during them.

Maturity is a recurring variable, and this is a positive point among the results found given the importance of this variable in the analysis of young soccer players. However, the analysis of the training sessions still needs to be explored more frequently and in a more detailed way to understand what has been done with the young. In this way, reflecting on more precise answers regarding the analysis of the performance in physical tests, in SSCG, or even in official matches. Finally, note that analysis isn't yet done to look for the outliers; on the contrary, they compare groups by some behaviors or activity level using average measures.

#### 7. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

No relevant conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## 8. FUNDING

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

#### 9. REFERENCES

1. Kunz M. 265 million playing football. FIFA magazine. 2007:10-5.

2. Sarmento H, Anguera MT, Pereira A, Araújo D. Talent Identification and Development in Male Football: A Systematic Review. Sports Medicine. 2018;48(4):907-31.

3. Sarmento H, Clemente FM, Araújo D, Davids K, McRobert A, Figueiredo A. What performance analysts need to know about research trends in association football (2012–2016): A systematic review. Sports Medicine. 2018;48:799-836.

4. Figueiredo AJ, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Cumming SP, Malina RM. Size and maturity mismatch in youth soccer players 11to 14-years-old. Pediatric Exercise Science. 2010;22(4):596-612.

5. Figueiredo AJ, Gonçalves CE, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Malina RM. Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2009;27(9):883-91.

6. Sarmento H, Clemente FM, Harper LD, Costa ITd, Owen A, Figueiredo AJ. Small sided games in soccer: a systematic review. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2018;18(5):693-749.

7. Morris R, Tod D, Eubank M. From youth team to first team: An investigation into the transition experiences of young professional athletes in soccer. International Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology. 2017;15(5):523-39.

8. Iacono AD, Martone D, Cular D, Milic M, Padulo J. Game profile-based training in soccer: A new field approach. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2017;31(12):3333-42.

9. Barbero-Álvarez JC, Coutts A, Granda J, Barbero-Álvarez V, Castagna C. The validity and reliability of a global positioning satellite system device to assess speed and repeated sprint ability (RSA) in athletes Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. 2010;13:232-5.

10. Bravo-Sanchez A, Abian-Vicen J, Abian P. Analysis of the physical and technical differences between 7-a-side and 8-a-side game modalities in official under 12 soccer matches. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2017;17(4):545-54.

11. Al Haddad H, Simpson B, Buchheit M, Di Salvo V, Mendez-Villanueva A. Peak match speed and maximal sprinting speed in young soccer players: Effect of age and playing position. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance. 2015;10(7):888-96.

12. Ortega JI, Evangelio C, Clemente FM, Martins FML, Gonzalez-Villora S. Analysis of Physiological, Technical, and Tactical Analysis during a Friendly Football Match of Elite U19. Sports. 2016;4(2):14.

13. Gonçalves E, Noce F, Barbosa MAM, Figueiredo AJ, Hackfort D, Teoldo I. Correlation of the peripheral perception with the maturation and the effect of the peripheral perception on the tactical behaviour of soccer players. International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology. 2017:1-13.

14. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Plos Medicine. 2009;6(7):1-6. 15. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Reviews. 2015;4(1):1-9.

16. Little T, Williams AG. Specificity of acceleration, maximum speed, and agility in professional soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2005;19(1):76-8.

17. Krustrup P, Mohr M, Amstrup T, Rysgaard T, Johansen J, Steensberg A, et al. The Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test: physiological response, reliability, and validity. Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise. 2003;35(4):697-705.

18. Bangsbo J, Iaia FM, Krustrup P. The Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test: a useful tool for evaluation of physical performance in intermittent sports. Sports Medicine. 2008;38(1):37-51.

19. Rampinini E, Bishop D, Marcora SM, Ferrari-Bravo D, Sassi R, Impellizzeri FM. Validity of simple field tests as indicators of match-related physical performance in top-level professional soccer players. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2007;28(3):228-35.

20. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Castagna C, Bishop D, Ferrari-Bravo D, Tibaudi A, et al. Validity of a Repeated-Sprint Test for football. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2008;29(11):899-905.

21. Mirwald RL, Baxter-Jones ADG, Bailey DA, Beunen GP. An assessment of maturity from anthropometric measurements. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 2002;34(4):689-94.

22. Tanner JM. Growth at Adolescence. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1962.

23. Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH, Marshall WA, Healy MJR, Goldstein H. Assessment of skeletal maturity and prediction of adult height (TW2 method). New York: Academic Press; 1975.

24. Roche AF, Chumlea CW, Thissen D. Assessing the skeletal maturity of the hand-wrist: Fels method. Illinois: Springfield; 1988.

25. Tanner JM, Healy MJR, Goldstein H, Cameron N. Assessment of skeletal maturity and prediction of adult height (TW3 method). London: Saunders; 2001.

26. Teoldo I, Garganta J, Greco PJ, Mesquita I, Maia J. System of tactical assessment in Soccer (FUT-SAT): Development and preliminary validation. Motricidade. 2011;7(1):69-83.

27. Hernández-Camacho JD, Fuentes-Lorca E, Moya-Amaya H. Anthropometric characteristics, somatotype and dietary patterns in youth soccer players. Revista Andaluza de Medicina del Deporte. 2017;10(4):192-6.

28. Luz LGO, Seabra A, Padez C, Duarte JP, Rebelo-Gonçalves R, Valente-dos-Santos J, et al. Waist circumference as a mediator of biological maturation effect on the motor coordination in children. Revista Paulista de Pediatria. 2016;34(3):352-8.

29. Deprez D, Buchheit M, Fransen J, Pion J, Lenoir M, Philippaerts RM, et al. A longitudinal study investigating the stability of anthropometry and soccerspecific endurance in pubertal high-level youth soccer players. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2015;14(2):418-26.

30. Portes LA, Canhadas IL, Silva RLP, de Oliveira NC. Anthropometry and fitness of young elite soccer players by field position. Sport Sciences for Health. 2015;11(3):321-8.

31. Lenjani N, Telai B, Markovski N. Differences in some anthropometric characteristics between categories of football players, participants in the superleague and the amateur league of the republic of kosovo. Research in Physical Education, Sport & Health. 2017;6(2):79-84.

32. Mathisen G, Pettersen SA. Anthropometric factors related to sprint and agility performance in young male soccer players. Open Access Journal of Sports Medicine. 2015;6:337-42.

33. Hirose N, Seki T. Two-year changes in anthropometric and motor ability values as talent identification indexes in youth soccer players. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. 2016;19(2):158-62.

34. Brocherie F, Girard O, Faiss R, Millet GP. High-intensity intermittent training in hypoxia: A double-blinded, placebo-controlled field study in youth football players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2015;29(1):226-37.

35. Abade E, Sampaio J, Goncalves B, Baptista J, Alves A, Viana J. Effects of different re-warm up activities in football players' performance. Plos One. 2017;12(6).

36. Malone JJ, Murtagh CF, Morgans R, Burgess DJ, Morton JP, Drust B. Countermovement jump performance is not affected during an in-season training microcycle in elite youth soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2015;29(3):752-7.

37. Cunha GS, Cumming SP, Valente-dos-Santos J, Duarte JP, Silva G, Dourado AC, et al. Interrelationships among jumping power, sprinting power and pubertal status after controlling for size in young male soccer players. Perceptual & Motor Skills. 2017;124(2):329-50.

38. Franco-Márquez F, Rodríguez-Rosell D, González-Suárez JM, Pareja-Blanco F, Mora-Custodio R, Yañez-García JM, et al. Effects of combined resistance training and plyometrics on physical performance in young soccer players. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2015;36(10):906-14.

39. Keiner M, Sander A, Wirth K, Hartmann H. Correlation between maximal isometric trunk strength and sprint and jump performance in young soccer players. Gazz Med Ital Arch Sci Med. 2015;174(10):467-74.

40. Köklü Y, Alemdaroğlu U, Özkan A, Koz M, Ersöz G. The relationship between sprint ability, agility and vertical jump performance in young soccer players. Sci Sports. 2015;30(1):e1-e5.

41. Makhlouf I, Castagna C, Manzi V, Laurencelle L, Behm DG, Chaouachi A. Effect of sequencing strength and endurance training in young male soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2016;30(3):841-50.

42. Peñailillo L, Espíldora F, Jannas-Vela S, Mujika I, Zbinden-Foncea H. Muscle strength and speed performance in youth soccer players. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2016;50(1):203-10.

43. Alonso L, Silva L, Paulucio D, Pompeu F, Bezerra L, Lima V, et al. Field tests vs. Post-game GPS data in young soccer player team. Journal of Exercise Physiology Online. 2017;20(1):102-10.

44. Campos-Vazquez MA, Romero-Boza S, Toscano-Bendala FJ, Leon-Prados JA, Suarez-Arrones LJ, Gonzalez-Jurado JA. Comparison of the effect of repeated-sprint training combined with two different methods of strength training on young soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2015;29(3):744-51.

45. Chtara M, Rouissi M, Haddad M, Chtara H, Chaalali A, Owen A, et al. Specific physical trainability in elite young soccer players: efficiency over 6 weeks' in-season training. Biology of Sport. 2017;34(2):137-48.

46. Iaia FM, Fiorenza M, Larghi L, Alberti G, Millet GP, Girard O. Short- or long-rest intervals during repeated sprint training in soccer? Plos One. 2017;12(2).

47. Buchheit M, Hammond K, Bourdon PC, Simpson BM, Garvican-Lewis LA, Schmidt WF, et al. Relative Match Intensities at High Altitude in Highly-Trained Young Soccer Players (ISA3600). Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2015;14(1):98-102.

48. Brito A, Roriz P, Silva P, Duarte R, Garganta J. Effects of pitch surface and playing position on external load activity profiles and technical demands of young soccer players in match play. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2017;17(6):902-18.

49. Clemente FM, Figueiredo AJ, Martins FML, Mendes RS, Wong DP. Physical and technical performances are not associated with tactical prominence in U14 soccer matches. Research in Sports Medicine. 2016;24(4):352-62.

50. Oliveira P, Clemente FM, Martins FML. Network measures and digraph theory applied to soccer analysis: Midfielder is the key player in youth teams. Journal of Physical Education and Sport. 2016;16:1023-8.

51. Atan SA, Foskett A, Ali A. Motion analysis of match play in New Zealand U13 to U15 age-group soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2016;30(9):2416-23.

52. Fernandes-da-Silva J, Castagna C, Teixeira AS, Carminatti LJ, Guglielmo LGA. The peak velocity derived from the Carminatti Test is related to physical match performance in young soccer players. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2016;34(24):2238-45.

53. Sevil-Serrano J, Práxedes-Pizarro A, García-González L, Moreno-Domínguez A, Villar-Álvarez F. Evolution of tactical behavior of soccer players across their development. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2017;17(6):885-901.

54. Ward P, Williams AM. Perceptual and Cognitive Skill Development in Soccer: The Multidimensional Nature of Expert Performance. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology. 2003;25:93-111.

55. Roca A, Ford PR, McRobert AP, Williams AM. Identifying the processes underpinning anticipation and decisionmaking in a dynamic time-constrained task. Cognitive Processing. 2011;12 (3):301-10.

56. Casanova F, Garganta J, Silva G, Alves A, Oliveira J, Williams AM. Effects of Prolonged Intermittent Exercise on Perceptual-Cognitive Processes. Medicine and Sciente in Sports and Exercise. 2013;45(2):1610-7.

57. Greco PJ. Conhecimento técnico-tático: o modelo pendular do comportamento e da ação tática nos esportes. Revista Brasileira de Psicologia do Esporte e do Exercício. 2006;0:107-29.

58. Romann M, Javet M, Fuchslocher J. Coaches' eye as a valid method to assess biological maturation in youth elite soccer. Talent Development and Excellence. 2017;9(1):3-13.

59. Cumming SP, Brown DJ, Mitchell S, Bunce J, Hunt D, Hedges C, et al. Premier League academy soccer players' experiences of competing in a tournament bio-banded for biological maturation. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2018;36(7):757-65.

60. McCunn R, Weston M, Hill JKA, Johnston RD, Gibson NV. Influence of physical maturity status on sprinting speed among youth soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2017;31(7):1795-801.

61. Bidaurrazaga-Letona I, Lekue JA, Amado M, Santos-Concejero J, Gil SM. Identifying talented young soccer players: conditional, anthropometrical and physiological characteristics as predictors of performance. RICYDE Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte. 2015;11(39):79-95.

62. Rechenchosky L, Borges PH, Menegassi VM, Deprá PP, Ronque ERV, Rinaldi W. What are the implications of controlling anthropometric variables when comparing technical skills and physical fitness in young soccer regional players? Journal of Physical Education & Sport. 2017;17(2):758-63.

63. Gouvea M, Cyrino ES, Ribeiro AS, da Silva DRP, Ohara D, Valente-dos-Santos J, et al. Influence of skeletal maturity on size, function and sport-specific technical skills in youth soccer players. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2016;37(6):464-9.

64. Borges PH, Rechenchosky L, Menegassi VM, Ciqueira EFL, Avelar A, Oliveira JGG, et al. Peak height velocity in soccer: anthropometric, functional, motor and cognitive implications. Journal of Physical Education & Sport. 2017;17(2):821-5.

65. Portas MD, Parkin G, Roberts J, Batterham AM. Maturational effect on Functional Movement Screen<sup>™</sup> score in adolescent soccer players. Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport. 2016;19(10):854-8.

66. Cook G. Functional Training for the Torso. NSCA Journal. 1997;17(2):14-9.

67. van der Sluis A, Elferink-Gemser MT, Brink MS, Visscher C. Importance of peak height velocity timing in terms of injuries in talented soccer players. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2015;36(4):327-32.

68. Williams AM, Davids K. Visual search strategy, selective attention, and expertise in soccer. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport. 1998;69(2):111-28.

69. Greco PJ, Benda RN, Ribas J. Estrutura Temporal. In: Greco PJ, Benda RN, editors. Iniciação Esportiva Universal: da aprendizagem motora ao treinamento técnico. 1. Belo Horizonte: Editora UFMG; 1998. p. 63-76.

70. Aquino R, Alves IS, Padilha MB, Casanova F, Puggina EF, Maia J. Multivariate Profiles of Selected Versus non-Selected Elite Youth Brazilian Soccer Players. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2017;60(1):113-21.

71. Gouvea MA, Cyrino ES, Valente-Dos-Santos J, Ribeiro AS, Silva DRP, Ohara D, et al. Comparison of skillful vs. Less skilled young soccer players on anthropometric, maturation, physical fitness and time of practice. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2017;38(5):384-95.

72. Sporis G, Dujic I, Trajkovic N, Milanovic Z, Madic D. Relationship between morphological characteristics and match performance in junior soccer players. Int J Morphol. 2017;35(1):37-41.

73. Aquino R, Vieira LHP, Oliveira LP, Gonçalves LGC, Santiago PRP. Relationship between field tests and match running performance in high-level young Brazilian soccer players. Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness. 2018;58(3):256-62.

74. Lloyd RS, Oliver JL, Radnor JM, Rhodes BC, Faigenbaum AD, Myer GD. Relationships between functional movement screen scores, maturation and physical performance in young soccer players. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2015;33(1):11-9.

75. Teoldo I, Guilherme J, Garganta J. Instruments for assessment of tactical behaviour. In: Teoldo I, Guilherme J, Garganta J, editors. Training football for smart playing: on tactical performance of teams and players. Curitiba: Appris; 2017. p. 211-70.

76. Praça GM, Soares VV, Matias CJAS, da Costa IT, Greco PJ. Relationship between tactical and technical performance in youth soccer players. Revista Brasileira de Cineantropometria e Desempenho Humano. 2015;17(2):136-44.

77. Américo HB, Cardoso FSL, Machado GF, Andrade MOC, Resende ER, Da Costa IT. Analysis of the tactical behavior of youth academy soccer players. Journal of Physical Education (Maringa). 2016;27(1).

78. Borges PH, Guilherme J, Rechenchosky L, da Costa LCA, Rinadi W. Fundamental tactical principles of soccer: a comparison of different age groups. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2017;58(1):207-14.

79. Reis MAM, Vasconcellos FVAV, Almeida MB. Performance and tactical behavior of youth soccer players. Brazilian Journal of Kineanthropometry & Human Performance. 2017;19(2):242-50.

80. Andrade MOC, Machado GF, Teoldo I. Relationship between impulsiveness and tactical performance of U-15 youth soccer players. Human Movement. 2016;17(2):126-30.

81. Praça GM, Costa CLA, Costa FF, de Andrade AGP, Chagas MH, Greco JP. Tactical behavior in soccer small-sided games: Influence of tactical knowledge and numerical superiority. Journal of Physical Education (Maringa). 2016;27(1).

82. Padilha MB, Guilherme J, Serra-Olivares J, Roca A, Teoldo I. The influence of floaters on players' tactical behaviour in small-sided and conditioned soccer games. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport. 2017;17(5):721-36.

83. Praça GM, Morales JCP, Bredt SDGT, Sousa RBE, Andrade AGPD, Greco PJ. The development of tactical skills in U-14 and U-15 soccer players throughout a season: A comparative analysis. Human Movement. 2017;18(5):39-47.

84. Praça GM, Morales JCP, Moreira PED, Peixoto GHC, Bredt ST, Chagas MH, et al. Tactical behavior in soccer smallsided games: influence of team composition criteria. Brazilian Journal of Kineanthropometry & Human Performance. 2017;19(3):354-63.

85. Rechenchosky L, Borges PH, Menegassi VM, Jaime MO, Guilherme J, Teoldo I, et al. Comparison of tactical principles efficiency among soccer players from different game positions. Human Movement. 2017;18(4):31-8.

86. Coutinho D, Gonçalves B, Travassos B, Wong DP, Coutts AJ, Sampaio JE. Mental fatigue and spatial references impair soccer players' physical and tactical performances. Frontiers in Psychology. 2017;8(SEP).

87. Halouani J, Chtourou H, Dellal A, Chaouachi A, Chamari K. Soccer small-sided games in young players: rule modification to induce higher physiological responses. Biology of Sport. 2017;34(2):163-8.

88. Coutinho D, Gonçalves B, Wong DP, Travassos B, Coutts AJ, Sampaio J. Exploring the effects of mental and muscular fatigue in soccer players' performance. Human Movement Science. 2018;58:287-96.

89. Falces-Prieto M, Casamichana D, Villarreal ES, Requena-Sánchez B, Carling C, Suárez-Arronez LJ. The presence of the head coach during a small-sided game: effects on players' internal load and technical performance. RICYDE Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte. 2015;11(41):245-57.

90. Los Arcos A, Vázquez JS, Martín J, Lerga J, Sánchez F, Villagra F, et al. Effects of small-sided games vs. interval training in aerobic fitness and physical enjoyment in young elite soccer players. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(9).

91. Brito J, Hertzog M, Nassis GP. Do match-related contextual variables influence training load in highly trained soccer players? Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2016;30(2):393-9.

92. Gjaka M, Tschan H, Francioni FM, Tishkuaj F, Tessitore A. Monitoring of loads and recovery perceived during weeks with different schedule in young soccer players. Kinesiol Sloven. 2016;22(1):16-26.

93. Coutinho D, Goncalves B, Figueira B, Abade E, Marcelino R, Sampaio J. Typical weekly workload of under 15, under17, and under 19 elite Portuguese football players. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2015;33(12):1229-37.